



US009471835B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Nielsen et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,471,835 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Oct. 18, 2016**

(54) **ELECTRONIC MANIFEST OF UNDERGROUND FACILITY LOCATE MARKS**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 235/435, 439, 454, 462
See application file for complete search history.

(71) Applicant: **CertusView Technologies, LLC**, Palm Beach Gardens, FL (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(72) Inventors: **Steven E. Nielsen**, North Palm Beach, FL (US); **Curtis Chambers**, Palm Beach Gardens, FL (US)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,986,777	A	10/1976	Roll
4,623,282	A	11/1986	Allen
4,688,921	A	8/1987	Wakabayashi
5,414,462	A	5/1995	Veatch
5,448,263	A	9/1995	Martin

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **CertusView Technologies, LLC**, Palm Beach Gardens, FL (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 22 days.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2386200	4/2000
CA	2418157	7/2004

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/263,627**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 28, 2014**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2014/0321717 A1 Oct. 30, 2014

US 8,583,461, 04/2010, Nielsen et al. (withdrawn)
(Continued)

Related U.S. Application Data

Primary Examiner — Matthew Mikels
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Cooley LLP

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/953,998, filed on Jul. 30, 2013, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 12/029,732, filed on Feb. 12, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,532,342.


(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method performed by a device may include receiving information regarding a particular geographic area; retrieving an aerial image of the particular geographic area; displaying the aerial image; determining an approximate geographic location of a mark denoting an underground facility; overlaying, on the displayed aerial image, information concerning the approximate geographic location of the mark denoting the underground facility; and storing the aerial image and the information concerning the approximate geographic location of the mark denoting the underground facility.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06K 19/00 (2006.01)
G06K 9/00 (2006.01)
G06Q 10/06 (2012.01)
G06Q 50/08 (2012.01)
G06T 11/60 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06K 9/00476** (2013.01); **G06Q 10/06** (2013.01); **G06Q 50/08** (2013.01); **G06T 11/60** (2013.01)

20 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,576,973	A	11/1996	Haddy	7,773,095	B1	8/2010	Badrak et al.
5,644,237	A	7/1997	Eslambolchi et al.	7,777,648	B2	8/2010	Smith et al.
5,689,415	A	11/1997	Calotychos et al.	7,834,806	B2	11/2010	Tucker et al.
5,699,244	A	12/1997	Clark, Jr.	7,886,616	B1	2/2011	Hayman
5,815,411	A	9/1998	Ellenby	7,889,124	B2	2/2011	Islam et al.
5,920,194	A	7/1999	Lewis et al.	7,889,888	B2	2/2011	Deardorr
5,987,380	A	11/1999	Backman	7,917,292	B1	3/2011	Du
5,991,694	A	11/1999	Gudat et al.	7,978,129	B2	7/2011	Sawyer et al.
6,101,087	A	8/2000	Sutton	7,990,151	B2	8/2011	Olsson
6,127,827	A	10/2000	Lewis	8,045,995	B2	10/2011	King
6,141,000	A	10/2000	Martin	8,054,343	B2	11/2011	Cheatle et al.
6,195,922	B1	3/2001	Stump	8,060,304	B2	11/2011	Nielsen et al.
6,201,883	B1	3/2001	Miziu	8,081,112	B2	12/2011	Tucker et al.
6,299,934	B1	10/2001	Manning	8,144,058	B2	3/2012	Sawyer, Jr. et al.
6,337,681	B1	1/2002	Martin	8,150,826	B2	4/2012	Arrouye et al.
6,351,707	B1	2/2002	Ichikawa	8,155,390	B2	4/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,371,692	B1	4/2002	Fatigati	8,194,932	B2	6/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,421,725	B1	7/2002	Vermilyea et al.	8,204,642	B2	6/2012	Tanaka et al.
6,426,872	B1	7/2002	Sutton	8,218,827	B2	7/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,430,499	B1	8/2002	Nakano	8,249,306	B2	8/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,437,708	B1	8/2002	Brouwer	8,260,489	B2	9/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,477,475	B1	11/2002	Takaoka et al.	8,265,344	B2	9/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,493,650	B1	12/2002	Rodgers	8,270,666	B2	9/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,567,116	B1	5/2003	Aman et al.	8,280,117	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,597,992	B2	7/2003	Rooney et al.	8,280,631	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,650,293	B1	11/2003	Eslambolchi	8,280,969	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,650,834	B2	11/2003	Ume	8,290,204	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,701,647	B2	3/2004	Stump	8,290,215	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,710,741	B2	3/2004	Tucker	8,296,308	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,723,375	B2	4/2004	Zeck et al.	8,300,895	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,747,636	B2	6/2004	Martin	8,300,925	B2	10/2012	Kunieda
6,751,552	B1	6/2004	Minelli	8,301,380	B2	10/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,751,553	B2	6/2004	Young	8,311,765	B2	11/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,751,554	B1	6/2004	Asher et al.	8,340,359	B2	12/2012	Nielsen et al.
6,772,142	B1	8/2004	Kelling et al.	8,355,542	B2	1/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,778,128	B2	8/2004	Tucker et al.	8,356,255	B2	1/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,798,379	B2	9/2004	Tucker et al.	8,361,543	B2	1/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,803,906	B1	10/2004	Morrison et al.	8,374,789	B2	2/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,850,161	B1	2/2005	Elliott et al.	8,384,742	B2	2/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,853,905	B2	2/2005	Barton	8,386,178	B2	2/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,873,998	B1	3/2005	Dorum	8,401,791	B2	3/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,895,356	B2	5/2005	Brimhall	8,407,001	B2	3/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,898,525	B1	5/2005	Minelli	8,416,995	B2	4/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,904,361	B1	6/2005	Tallman et al.	8,457,893	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,941,890	B1	9/2005	Cristo et al.	8,463,487	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,950,535	B2	9/2005	Sibayama	8,467,932	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,956,524	B2	10/2005	Tucker et al.	8,467,969	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,957,250	B1	10/2005	Nakano	8,468,206	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,958,690	B1	10/2005	Asher et al.	8,473,148	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,972,698	B2	12/2005	Deguchi	8,473,209	B2	6/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,975,942	B2	12/2005	Young et al.	8,476,906	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
6,999,021	B2	2/2006	Taylor, Jr.	8,478,523	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,003,138	B2	2/2006	Wilson	8,478,524	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,009,399	B2	3/2006	Olsson et al.	8,478,525	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,027,653	B2	4/2006	Hino	8,478,617	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,120,564	B2	10/2006	Pacey	8,478,635	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,142,196	B1	11/2006	Connor	8,480,332	B2	7/2013	Miller
7,185,021	B2	2/2007	Kishigami	8,484,300	B2	7/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,216,034	B2	5/2007	Vitikainen	8,532,341	B2	9/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,222,986	B2	5/2007	Mah	8,532,342	B2	9/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,236,162	B2	6/2007	Morrison et al.	8,540,141	B2	9/2013	Petrich et al.
7,262,797	B2	8/2007	Weldum	8,543,651	B2	9/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,274,756	B2	9/2007	Kuijk	8,543,937	B2	9/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,372,247	B1	5/2008	Giusti et al.	8,549,084	B2	10/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,400,976	B2	7/2008	Young et al.	8,560,164	B2	10/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,417,641	B1	8/2008	Barber	8,566,737	B2	10/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,437,830	B1	10/2008	Kulavic	8,572,193	B2	10/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,469,247	B2	12/2008	Cossins et al.	8,577,707	B2	11/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,482,973	B2	1/2009	Tucker et al.	8,583,264	B2	11/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,584,123	B1	9/2009	Karonis et al.	8,583,372	B2	11/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,613,331	B2	11/2009	Maeda	8,589,201	B2	11/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,626,496	B1	12/2009	Asher et al.	8,589,202	B2	11/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,636,901	B2	12/2009	Munson	8,600,526	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,640,105	B2	12/2009	Nielsen et al.	8,600,848	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
7,664,530	B2	2/2010	Skelton	8,612,090	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
				8,612,148	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
				8,612,271	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
				8,612,276	B1	12/2013	Nielsen et al.
				8,620,572	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,620,587	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.	2007/0258642	A1	11/2007	Thota
8,620,616	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.	2007/0286021	A1	12/2007	Hoenmans et al.
8,620,726	B2	12/2013	Nielsen et al.	2008/0021863	A1	1/2008	Evans
8,626,571	B2	1/2014	Nielsen et al.	2008/0025614	A1	1/2008	Hintz et al.
8,630,463	B2	1/2014	Nielsen et al.	2008/0059889	A1	3/2008	Parker et al.
8,644,965	B2	2/2014	Nielsen et al.	2008/0075368	A1	3/2008	Kuzmin
8,700,325	B2	4/2014	Nielsen et al.	2008/0109751	A1	5/2008	Fitzmaurice et al.
9,159,107	B2	10/2015	Nielsen et al.	2008/0125942	A1	5/2008	Tucker et al.
9,183,646	B2	11/2015	Nielsen et al.	2008/0180322	A1	7/2008	Islam
9,280,269	B2	3/2016	Nielsen et al.	2008/0192235	A1	8/2008	Komiya et al.
2001/0036295	A1	11/2001	Hendrickson	2008/0208415	A1	8/2008	Vik
2001/0049470	A1	12/2001	Mault et al.	2008/0284902	A1	11/2008	Konno et al.
2002/0013704	A1	1/2002	Finney	2008/0285805	A1	11/2008	Luinge et al.
2002/0044690	A1	4/2002	Burgess	2008/0288267	A1	11/2008	Asher
2002/0052755	A1	5/2002	Whatley	2008/0292295	A1	11/2008	Konno et al.
2002/0059296	A1	5/2002	Hayashi et al.	2009/0004410	A1	1/2009	Thomson et al.
2002/0122119	A1	9/2002	Takakura	2009/0012418	A1	1/2009	Gerlach et al.
2002/0145617	A1	10/2002	Kennard	2009/0013806	A1	1/2009	Miller et al.
2002/0184235	A1	12/2002	Young	2009/0067695	A1	3/2009	Komiya et al.
2003/0012411	A1	1/2003	Sjostrom	2009/0070071	A1	3/2009	Wood
2003/0095708	A1	5/2003	Pittel	2009/0092297	A1	4/2009	Kitoh et al.
2003/0110184	A1	6/2003	Gibson et al.	2009/0100185	A1	4/2009	Shehan et al.
2003/0164053	A1	9/2003	Ignagni	2009/0121933	A1	5/2009	Tucker et al.
2003/0222815	A1	12/2003	Tucker	2009/0121937	A1	5/2009	Kaegebein
2004/0006425	A1	1/2004	Wood	2009/0185712	A1	7/2009	Wong et al.
2004/0008883	A1	1/2004	Shi et al.	2009/0201311	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0073578	A1	4/2004	Nam	2009/0204238	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0146185	A1	7/2004	Blair	2009/0204466	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0148191	A1	7/2004	Hoke	2009/0207019	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0150556	A1	8/2004	Tucker et al.	2009/0210285	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0151388	A1	8/2004	Maeda	2009/0210298	A1	8/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0168358	A1	9/2004	Stump	2009/0237263	A1	9/2009	Sawyer, Jr. et al.
2004/0199410	A1	10/2004	Feyen et al.	2009/0237297	A1	9/2009	Davis et al.
2004/0203909	A1	10/2004	Koster	2009/0238415	A1	9/2009	Nielsen et al.
2004/0210370	A1	10/2004	Gudat	2009/0257620	A1	10/2009	Hicks
2004/0210386	A1	10/2004	Wood	2009/0306881	A1	12/2009	Dolgov et al.
2004/0220731	A1	11/2004	Tucker	2009/0322868	A1	12/2009	Ikeda
2004/0252303	A1	12/2004	Giorgianni et al.	2009/0327024	A1	12/2009	Nielsen et al.
2005/0033513	A1	2/2005	Gasbarro	2010/0006667	A1	1/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0034074	A1	2/2005	Munson	2010/0010862	A1	1/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0046584	A1	3/2005	Breed	2010/0010863	A1	1/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0057745	A1	3/2005	Bontje	2010/0010882	A1	1/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0104775	A1	5/2005	Tucker et al.	2010/0010883	A1	1/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0122518	A1	6/2005	Overbeck et al.	2010/0045517	A1	2/2010	Tucker et al.
2005/0125389	A1	6/2005	Hazzard et al.	2010/0088031	A1	4/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0183028	A1	8/2005	Clough et al.	2010/0088134	A1	4/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0192752	A1	9/2005	Rooney	2010/0088164	A1	4/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0203768	A1	9/2005	Florance	2010/0090700	A1	4/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0219268	A1	10/2005	Kyle	2010/0117654	A1	5/2010	Nielsen et al.
2005/0254704	A1	11/2005	Komiya et al.	2010/0188088	A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0020417	A1	1/2006	Koch et al.	2010/0188245	A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0058945	A1	3/2006	Watanabe	2010/0188407	A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0077095	A1	4/2006	Tucker et al.	2010/0189312	A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0085396	A1	4/2006	Evans	2010/0189887	A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0091888	A1	5/2006	Holman et al.	2010/0198663	A1	8/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0161349	A1	7/2006	Cross	2010/0201690	A1	8/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0166679	A1*	7/2006	Karaoguz	2010/0205031	A1	8/2010	Nielsen et al.
			H04W 4/02 455/456.1	2010/0205032	A1	8/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0229809	A1	10/2006	Chen	2010/0205536	A1	8/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0235741	A1	10/2006	Deaton et al.	2010/0228588	A1	9/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0239560	A1	10/2006	Sternby	2010/0245086	A1	9/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0282191	A1	12/2006	Gotfried	2010/0253511	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2006/0282280	A1	12/2006	Stotz et al.	2010/0253513	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0011271	A1	1/2007	Baker et al.	2010/0253514	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0027591	A1	2/2007	Goldenberg	2010/0256825	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0031029	A1	2/2007	Sasaki	2010/0256912	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0076920	A1	4/2007	Ofek	2010/0256981	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0100768	A1	5/2007	Boccon-Gibod et al.	2010/0257029	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0110316	A1	5/2007	Ohashi	2010/0257477	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0118278	A1	5/2007	Finn et al.	2010/0263591	A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0143676	A1	6/2007	Chen	2010/0272885	A1	10/2010	Olsson
2007/0182721	A1	8/2007	Watanabe et al.	2010/0285211	A1	11/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0187574	A1	8/2007	Lia	2010/0318401	A1	12/2010	Nielsen et al.
2007/0219722	A1*	9/2007	Sawyer, Jr.	2010/0318402	A1	12/2010	Nielsen et al.
			G01C 15/00 702/1	2010/0318465	A1	12/2010	Nielsen et al.
				2011/0007076	A1	1/2011	Nielsen et al.
				2011/0020776	A1	1/2011	Nielsen et al.
				2011/0022433	A1	1/2011	Nielsen et al.
				2011/0035245	A1	2/2011	Nielsen et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2011/0035251 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0035252 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0035260 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0035324 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0035328 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0040589 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0040590 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0045175 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0046993 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0046994 A1 2/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0093306 A1 4/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0241936 A1 10/2011 Sawyer, Jr. et al.
 2011/0249394 A1 10/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0279229 A1 11/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0279230 A1 11/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0279476 A1 11/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0283217 A1 11/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2011/0285749 A1 11/2011 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0019380 A1 1/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0036140 A1 2/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0065924 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0065944 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0066137 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0066273 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0066506 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0069178 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0072035 A1 3/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0110019 A1 5/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0113244 A1 5/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0257785 A1 10/2012 Narayan et al.
 2012/0259550 A1 10/2012 Du
 2012/0274476 A1 11/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0290901 A1 11/2012 Kim
 2012/0328162 A1 12/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2012/0330849 A1 12/2012 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0002854 A1 1/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0006718 A1 1/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0044918 A1 2/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0085670 A1 4/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0103318 A1 4/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0135343 A1 5/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0147637 A1 6/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0174072 A9 7/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0186333 A1 7/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0194303 A1 8/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0231984 A1 9/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0233883 A1 9/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0251894 A1 9/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0265138 A1 10/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0268199 A1 10/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0287500 A1 10/2013 Miller
 2013/0315449 A1 11/2013 Nielsen et al.
 2013/0325542 A1 12/2013 Tucker et al.
 2014/0022272 A1 1/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0035587 A1 2/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0074970 A1 3/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0122149 A1 5/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0236656 A1 8/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0278661 A1 9/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0304041 A1 10/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2014/0334878 A1 11/2014 Miller
 2014/0347396 A1 11/2014 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0009608 A1 1/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0149242 A1 5/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0170089 A1 6/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0185778 A1 7/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0193717 A1 7/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0234819 A1 8/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0253449 A1 9/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0269500 A1 9/2015 Nielsen et al.
 2015/0339607 A1 11/2015 Nielsen et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2423148 9/2004
 CA 2574595 2/2006
 CA 2811172 2/2006
 CA 2528795 6/2007
 CA 2632267 6/2007
 CA 2643844 9/2007
 CA 2739110 4/2010
 CA 2713282 3/2013
 EP 1769270 4/2007
 WO WO02067083 8/2002
 WO WO2005052627 6/2005
 WO WO2006014724 2/2006
 WO WO2007067898 6/2007
 WO WO2007106871 9/2007
 WO WO2008005837 1/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Corrected Notice of Allowability dated Sep. 1, 2015 from U.S. Application No.
 Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 8, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/063,417.
 Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 26, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/950,655.
 Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 30, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/194,718.
 Supplemental Notice of Allowability dated Aug. 6, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/708,530.
 Supplemental Notice of Allowability dated Aug. 14, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/612,603.
 Supplemental Notice of Allowability dated Aug. 24, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/612,603.
 "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection," Mapping underground in real-time, Mar. 2007, pp. 18-19.
 ArcFM Ut, "A GIS for Utilities Based on Standards," White Paper, AED SICAD, Sep. 2008, 1-28.
 ArcFM, White Paper, 2006, 1-28.
 ArcGIS 9, Geocoding in ArcGIS, Manual, 2004, 1-192.
 ArcPad, Mobile GIS Software for Field Mapping Applications, brochure, 2006, <http://www.esri.com/library/brochures/pdfs/arcpadbro.pdf>.
 ArcPad: Mobile GIS, ESRI White Paper, Sep. 2004, 1-17, <http://www.esri.com/library/whitepapers/pdfs/arcpad.pdf>.
 Ayers, S., Technology Update, 21 pages.
 Bernold, L.E. et al., "A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities," article by Bernold, Venkatesan, and Suvarna, pp. 1-6.
 Borsan, T. et al., "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventive and Salvage Archeological Excavations," article by Borsan, Ludsan, Dimen, lenciu, and Oprea, Dec. 1, 1918, pp. 237-242.
 CGA, Common Ground Alliance, Best Practices, Version 1.0, Apr. 2003, 93 pages.
 CGA, Common Ground, Study of One-Call Systems and Damage Prevention Best Practices, Aug. 1999, 262 pages.
 Chhabra, K. "Integrated GIS Work Environment with ESRI Products," A paper for the ESRI Users Conference 2004, 7 pages.
 Chmura, A. "Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System," Development of Encroachment Notification, Jul./Aug. 2008, 1 page.
 Clark et al., "Using ArcPad," ArcPad 6, 398 pages.
 Complaint for Patent Infringement dated Apr. 10, 2013, *CertusView Technologies LLC v. United States Infrastructure Corporation et al.*, Case No. 2:13CV182, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.
 Complaint for Patent Infringement dated May 28, 2013, *CertusView Technologies LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, Case No. 1:13CV346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.
 Corrected Notice of Allowability dated Aug. 21, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,565.
 Corrected Notice of Allowability dated Feb. 27, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS, Edgecomb County, 4 pages.

DigiTerra Explorer, brochure, v. 6, 2009, 1-2.

DigiTerra Explorer, User Manual, v. 5, 2007, 1-64.

Doyle, K., UGI Utilities: Delivering enhanced service, Energy Digital, <http://www.energydigital.com/company-report/ugi-utilities-delivering-enhanced-service> (original publication date unknown), retrieved Aug. 1, 2011, 3 pages.

Edgecomb County, North Carolina, Maps and Inventories Water/Wastewater Infrastructure with GIS and GPS, Field Mapping Time Cut in Half, 4 pages.

Eide, S.E. et al., "3D Utility Mapping Using Electronically Scanned Antenna Array," 9th International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar, Apr. 29-May 2, 2002, 5 pages.

Farag, A., R&D for Damage Prevention, Damage Prevention Conference paper, Apr. 2008, 24 pages.

GIS Landbase Solutions, 2 pages.

GPS Technology Enhancing Underground Utility Locating, Underground Construction Magazine, Apr. 7, 2010, 4 pages, <http://www.undergroundconstructionmagazine.com/print/1034?page=show>.

Ground Penetrating Radar Systems webpage, Feb. 28, 2008, 3 pages.

H.L. Smith, Letter dated Jan. 14, 2011, with two attachments each dated Jan. 5, 2011.

H.L. Smith, Letter dated Oct. 27, 2010.

Haas, J. et al., "Feed the FLAME—Utility Integrates Field Applications," GeoWorld, Mar. 2007, 5 pages, online: Systems Integration Articles/Enspira Solutions.

Heath, M. et al., "Comparison of Edge Detectors: A Methodology and Initial Study," 1996, IEEE Computer Society Press; <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.455.5214>.

InMaps Develops Critical Database Link to Keep UGI Automation on Track, Holderness, NH, Sep. 2006, <http://www.inmaps.com/InMaps-develops-critical-database-link-to-keep-ugi-automation-on-track>, 1 page.

International Search Report and Written Opinion, Application No. PCT/US2009/000859, Apr. 14, 2009.

International Search Report, Application No. PCT/US10/041160, Oct. 6, 2010.

Kolera, B.T. et al., "Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Sep. 2006, pp. 919-927.

Letter from Norfield Data Products to Wolf Greenfield & Sacks, P.C., May 5, 2010, 2 pages.

Loeber, P.C., "An Investigation of Geobase Mission Data Set Design, Implementation, and Usage Within Air Force Civil Engineer Electrical and Utilities Work Centers," Msgrt, USAF, Mar. 2005, 139 pages.

Meehan, B., "Empowering Electric and Gas Utilities with GIS," 264 pages.

Miss Utility webpage, Dec. 30, 2007, 2 pages.

MobileMapper 6 vs. Juno SC in Real World Conditions, White Paper, Jan. 16, 2009, http://www.sidwellco.com/php/gps_solutions/docs/MM6_vs_Juno_WP_en.pdf.

MobileMapper 6, brochure, 2008, 1-4.

New Breakthroughs in GIS/GPS Technology Focus on Underground Utility Damage Prevention, Press Release, Jan. 27, 2003, 2 pages.

New Technologies Virginia Pilot Project Phases I, II and III, 38 pages.

Notice of Allowability dated Jul. 26, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/796,487.

Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 31, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,046.

Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 10, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/758,336.

Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 14, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/741,080.

Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 18, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 30, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/208,846.

Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 6, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/796,487.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 11, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/366,050.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 19, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/734,415.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 23, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,565.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 26, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/029,732.

Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 21, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,232.

Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 11, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 13, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/625,436.

Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 1, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/607,843.

Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 25, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/639,373.

Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 7, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/639,041.

Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 16, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/208,846.

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 6, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 13, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,565.

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 20, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 6, 2011 from Canadian Application No. 2,715,312.

Office Action dated Jan. 2, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,009.

Office Action dated Jan. 15, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/029,732.

Office Action dated Jan. 20, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,138.

Office Action dated Feb. 4, 2013 from Australian Application No. 2010270589.

Office Action dated Feb. 4, 2013 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Office Action dated Feb. 5, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/185,194.

Office Action dated Feb. 12, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/833,117.

Office Action dated Feb. 16, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,232.

Office Action dated Feb. 29, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/833,117.

Office Action dated Mar. 2, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/831,330.

Office Action dated Mar. 8, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,185.

Office Action dated Mar. 19, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/208,846.

Office Action dated Apr. 5, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Office Action dated Apr. 6, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

Office Action dated Apr. 11, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/029,732.

Office Action dated Apr. 17, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/607,843.

Office Action dated Apr. 22, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/734,415.

Office Action dated Apr. 23, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/366,050.

Office Action dated Apr. 23, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Office Action dated Apr. 25, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,046.

Office Action dated May 1, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,009.

Office Action dated May 7, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Office Action dated May 7, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

Office Action dated May 20, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/741,080.

Office Action dated May 24, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,138.

Office Action dated May 24, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,121.

Office Action dated May 28, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Office Action dated Jun. 8, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,163.

Office Action dated Jun. 23, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/208,846.

Office Action dated Jun. 28, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,565.

Office Action dated Jul. 1, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/029,732.

Office Action dated Jul. 3, 2013 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Office Action dated Jul. 3, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/758,336.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action dated Jul. 13, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/029,732, filed Feb. 12, 2008.

Office Action dated Jul. 17, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/369,232.

Office Action dated Jul. 26, 2012 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Office Action dated Aug. 1, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,138.

Office Action dated Aug. 7, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,185.

Office Action dated Aug. 9, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/831,330.

Office Action dated Sep. 4, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,009.

Office Action dated Oct. 4, 2011 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Office Action dated Oct. 9, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,138.

Office Action dated Oct. 10, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Office Action dated Oct. 10, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

Office Action dated Oct. 31, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/953,998.

Office Action dated Nov. 4, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Office Action dated Nov. 4, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/758,336.

Office Action dated Nov. 6, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/741,080.

Office Action dated Nov. 21, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,121.

Office Action dated Nov. 23, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/208,846.

Office Action dated Nov. 23, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/363,009.

Office Action dated Nov. 27, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,163.

Office Action dated Dec. 28, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Office Action dated Dec. 30, 2013 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Office Action dated Nov. 26, 2010 from Australian Application No. 2009213151.

Office Action dated Nov. 29, 2011 from Australian Application No. 2009213151.

Office Action dated Oct. 6, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/366,050.

Olst, R. van, "Using I.T. To improve the efficiency and accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON 2004, pp. 927-932.

One Call Concepts Locating Services, Inc., Point Positive Utility Mapping & GIS, <http://www.occls.com/Default.aspx?content=pointpositive>, original publication date unknown, retrieved Sep. 21, 2011, 1 page.

One Call Technology Pilot Project, 41 pages.

Patent Examination Report No. 1 dated Jan. 21, 2014 from Australian Application No. 2012241082.

Pevarski, R., Virginia Pilot Project: Technology Meets Damage Prevention, http://www.excavationsafetyonline.com/esg/guidePDFs/2009_2009_ESG_Page_9.pdf, printed on Nov. 3, 2009, 1 page.

Pickering, D. et al., "Utility Mapping and Record Keeping for Infrastructure," Urban Management Programme, 1946, 84 pages.

Plaintiff CertusView Technologies, LLC's Claim Construction Reply Brief dated Mar. 21, 2014, *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.

Preliminary Proposed Claim Constructions and Identification of Structure(s), Act(s), or Material(s) Corresponding to Claims Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.

Preliminary Proposed Constructions dated Feb. 14, 2014, *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.

Quiroga, C. et al., "A Data Platform for Managing Utilities Along Highway Corridors," Project No. 0-2110, Report 2110-1, Texas Transportation Institute, Feb. 2002, 88 pages.

R. Pevarski, Letter from the Virginia Utility Protection Service, Inc., dated Apr. 7, 2010.

Ross, C., "2d Tile Map Editor," Oct. 19, 2005, <http://www.blitzbasic.com/Community/posts.php?topic=52152>, pp. 1-3.

Ruggiero, K., "Using ArcGIS for Clearing Cable Locates," ESRI 2006.

S&N Location Services, LLC and S&N Communication, Inc.'s Opening Claim Construction Brief dated Mar. 18, 2014, *CertusView*

Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al., Case No. 2:13-cv-346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.

S&N Location Services, LLC and S&N Communication, Inc.'s Reply Claim Construction Brief dated Mar. 21, 2014, *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-346, USDC Eastern District of Virginia.

Schempf, H. et al., "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley, 12 pages.

Sentinel USA Announces New Alliance with Guardian Angel Protection, Press Release: Jan. 26, 2003, 2 pages.

Stahovich, David M. et al., "Automated and Integrated Call Before You Dig," Proceedings of GITA 2005, GITA's 18th Annual Conference, Mar. 6-9, 2005, Denver, CO, online: GIS for Oil & Gas Conference 2002 <<http://www.gisdevelopment.net/proceedings/gita/2005/papers/76.pdf>>.

Supplemental Notice of Allowability dated Jul. 2, 2013 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/796,487.

Supplemental Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 27, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/366,050.

Toyama, K. et al., "Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images," article by Toyama, Logan, Roseway, and Anandan, pp. 156-166.

Trimble Comprehensive Utilities, brochure, 2010, 1-6.

UGI Utilities Selects KEMA for FLAME Project Implementation, Burlington, Massachusetts, Electric Energy Publications, Inc., Feb. 10, 2004, http://www.eet-d.com/?page=show_news&id=17641, 2 pages.

UGI Utilities, Inc., FLAME Project White Paper, (original publication date unknown), received Aug. 3, 2011, 12 pages.

Ultimate Utility Management System to be Unveiled at Planet Underground, The Planet Underground, 2 pages.

Underground, pages from magazine, Jan./Feb. 2003 issue, 4 pages.

Utility Notification Center webpage, Mar. 4, 2008, 2 pages.

Utility Sciences Corporation to Attend Trade Show, SpatialNews.com Press Release, 2 pages.

Vanaartsen, S.J., GIS Automates Utility One Call Process, Proceeding ESRI, 1-15, http://proceedings.esri.com/library/useconf/proc06/papers/papers/pap_1148.pdf.

Vandeberg, G., "Introduction to ArcPad: Mobile GIS," ND GIS Users Conference, Oct. 26, 2006, 30 pages.

Virginia Damage Prevention Conference Agenda, Apr. 20-22, 2010, 6 pages.

Virginia Damage Prevention Conference Agenda, Apr. 21-23, 2009, 5 pages.

Virginia Damage Prevention Conference Agenda, Apr. 8-10, 2008, 5 pages.

Virginia Pilot Project, Incorporating GPS Technology to Enhance One-Call Damage Prevention, Phase I—Electronic White Lining Project Report, Nov. 2007, 50 pages.

w3schools; "JavaScript Pop Up Boxes," Aug. 11, 2005; http://www.w3schools.com/js/js_popup.asp, pp. 1-2.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344, issued Sep. 11, 2012.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,270,666, issued Sep. 18, 2012.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204, issued Oct. 16, 2012.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,361,543, issued Jan. 29, 2013.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,416,995, issued Apr. 9, 2013.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,342, issued Sep. 10, 2013.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,543,937, issued Sep. 24, 2013.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,630,463, issued Jan. 14, 2014.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Publication No. 2009-0201311, published Aug. 13, 2009.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Publication No. 2011-0007076, published Jan. 13, 2011.

References Cited

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-IF-02-049 (Exhibit 12-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-IF-02-049 (Exhibit 12-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-IF-02-049 (Exhibit 12-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-IF-02-049 (Exhibit 12-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of "Finding Buried Utilities Before They Find You," article by Daniel P. Duffy (Exhibit 13-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of "Finding Buried Utilities Before They Find You," article by Daniel P. Duffy (Exhibit 13-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of "Finding Buried Utilities Before They Find You," article by Daniel P. Duffy (Exhibit 13-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of "Finding Buried Utilities Before They Find You," article by Daniel P. Duffy (Exhibit 13-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of "Finding Buried Utilities Before They Find You," article by Daniel P. Duffy (Exhibit 13-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Triangulation, <http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/triangulation> (Exhibit 14-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Triangulation, <http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/triangulation> (Exhibit 14-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Triangulation, <http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/triangulation> (Exhibit 14-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions;

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Technologies to Accurately and Cost-Effectively Detect and Identify Unauthorized Activity Near Pipelines (Exhibit 18-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRL/VAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Technologies to Accurately and Cost-Effectively Detect and Identify Unauthorized Activity Near Pipelines (Exhibit 18-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II (Exhibit 1-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II (Exhibit 1-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II (Exhibit 1-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II (Exhibit 1-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II (Exhibit 1-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of “Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System,” Underground Focus (Exhibit 24-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of “Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System,” Underground Focus (Exhibit 24-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of “Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System,” Underground Focus (Exhibit 24-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of “Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System,” Underground Focus (Exhibit 24-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of “Project to Create GPS Excavation Monitoring System,” Underground Focus (Exhibit 24-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Edgcombe County, Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS (Exhibit 25-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED

CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Edgcombe County, Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS (Exhibit 25-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Edgcombe County, Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS (Exhibit 25-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Edgcombe County, Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS (Exhibit 25-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Edgcombe County, Cut Field-Mapping Time in Half Using Mobile GIS (Exhibit 25-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of “Introduction to ArcPad Mobile GIS,” Gregory Vandeberg, Ph.D., presentation at ND GIS Users Conference (Exhibit 26-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of “Introduction to ArcPad Mobile GIS,” Gregory Vandeberg, Ph.D., presentation at ND GIS Users Conference (Exhibit 26-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of “Introduction to ArcPad Mobile GIS,” Gregory Vandeberg, Ph.D., presentation at ND GIS Users Conference (Exhibit 26-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of “Introduction to ArcPad Mobile GIS,” Gregory Vandeberg, Ph.D., presentation at ND GIS Users Conference (Exhibit 26-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of “Introduction to ArcPad Mobile GIS,” Gregory Vandeberg, Ph.D., presentation at ND GIS Users Conference (Exhibit 26-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of “Using ArcPad,” ArcPad 6, Clarke, Greenwald, and Spalding (Exhibit 27-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of “Using ArcPad,” ArcPad 6, Clarke, Greenwald, and Spalding (Exhibit 27-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

References Cited

Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of US 2012/0259550 (Exhibit 29-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Tucker References (Exhibit 2-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Tucker References (Exhibit 2-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Tucker References (Exhibit 2-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Tucker References (Exhibit 2-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Tucker References (Exhibit 2-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,826 (Exhibit 30-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,826 (Exhibit 30-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,826 (Exhibit 30-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,826 (Exhibit 30-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 8,150,826 (Exhibit 30-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of US 2004/0168358 (Exhibit 31-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of US 2004/0168358 (Exhibit 31-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of an Investigation of Geobase Mission Data Set Design, Implementation, & Usage Within Air Force Civil Engineer Electrical and Utilities Work Centers (Exhibit 36-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of an Investigation of Geobase Mission Data Set Design, Implementation, & Usage Within Air Force Civil Engineer Electrical and Utilities Work Centers (Exhibit 36-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of an Investigation of Geobase Mission Data Set Design, Implementation, & Usage Within Air Force Civil Engineer Electrical and Utilities Work Centers (Exhibit 36-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of "Using I.T. to Improve the Efficiency and Accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON, Rex van Olst and Barry Dwolatzky (Exhibit 37-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of "Using I.T. to Improve the Efficiency and Accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON, Rex van Olst and Barry Dwolatzky (Exhibit 37-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of "Using I.T. to Improve the Efficiency and Accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON, Rex van Olst and Barry Dwolatzky (Exhibit 37-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of "Using I.T. to Improve the Efficiency and Accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON, Rex van Olst and Barry Dwolatzky (Exhibit 37-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of "Using I.T. to Improve the Efficiency and Accuracy of Network Data Collection," IEEE AFRICON, Rex van Olst and Barry Dwolatzky (Exhibit 37-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators, Kolera and Bernold (Exhibit 38-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators, Kolera and Bernold (Exhibit 38-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED

CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators, Kolera and Bernold (Exhibit 38-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators, Kolera and Bernold (Exhibit 38-0); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Intelligent Utility Locating Tool for Excavators, Kolera and Bernold (Exhibit 38-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley (Exhibit 39-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley (Exhibit 39-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley (Exhibit 39-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley (Exhibit 39-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of "GRISLEE: Gasmain Repair & Inspection System for Live Entry Environments," article by Schempf, Mutschler, Goltsberg, and Crowley (Exhibit 39-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Collection Software (PointMan and/or Predator Products) (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 3-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Collection Software (PointMan and/or Predator Products) (Oct. 15, 2006); (Exhibit 3-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Collection Software (PointMan and/or Predator Products) (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 3-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED

References Cited

CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 2005/0183028A1 (Aug. 18, 2005) (Exhibit 41-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,647 (Mar. 9, 2004) (Exhibit 42-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,647 (Mar. 9, 2004) (Exhibit 42-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,647 (Mar. 9, 2004) (Exhibit 42-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,647 (Mar. 9, 2004) (Exhibit 42-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,647 (Mar. 9, 2004) (Exhibit 42-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,276 (Exhibit 43-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,276 (Exhibit 43-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,276 (Exhibit 43-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,276 (Exhibit 43-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,276 (Exhibit 43-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,957,250 (hereinafter referred to as “Nakano”) (Exhibit 44-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,957,250 (hereinafter referred to as “Nakano”) (Exhibit 44-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED

Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of US 2007/0258642 (Exhibit 46-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of US 2002/0044690 (Exhibit 47-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of US 2002/0044690 (Exhibit 47-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of US 2002/0044690 (Exhibit 47-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of US 2002/0044690 (Exhibit 47-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of US 2002/0044690 (Exhibit 47-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Article titled "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventative and Salvage Archeological Excavations," by Borsan, Ludusan, Dimen, Ienciu, and Oprea (hereinafter referred to as "Borsan") (Exhibit 48-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Article titled "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventative and Salvage Archeological Excavations," by Borsan, Ludusan, Dimen, Ienciu, and Oprea (hereinafter referred to as "Borsan") (Exhibit 48-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Article titled "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventative and Salvage Archeological Excavations," by Borsan, Ludusan, Dimen, Ienciu, and Oprea (hereinafter referred to as "Borsan") (Exhibit 48-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Article titled "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventative and Salvage Archeological Excavations," by Borsan, Ludusan, Dimen, Ienciu, and Oprea (hereinafter referred to as "Borsan") (Exhibit 48-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Article titled "Setting Up a Database to Record Preventative and Salvage Archeological Excavations," by Borsan, Ludusan, Dimen, Ienciu, and Oprea (hereinafter referred to as "Borsan") (Exhibit 48-E); S&N Invalidity

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of “A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities” by Leonard E. Bernold and Lashminarayan Venkatesan (hereinafter referred to as the “Bernold” reference) (Exhibit 49-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of “A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities” by Leonard E. Bernold and Lashminarayan Venkatesan (hereinafter referred to as the “Bernold” reference) (Exhibit 49-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of “A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities” by Leonard E. Bernold and Lashminarayan Venkatesan (hereinafter referred to as the “Bernold” reference) (Exhibit 49-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of “A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities” by Leonard E. Bernold and Lashminarayan Venkatesan (hereinafter referred to as the “Bernold” reference) (Exhibit 49-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of “A Multi-Sensory Approach to 3-D Mapping of Underground Utilities” by Leonard E. Bernold and Lashminarayan Venkatesan (hereinafter referred to as the “Bernold” reference) (Exhibit 49-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 4-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 4-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 4-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 4-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Guardian ProStar/

ProStar Web Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 4-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images (Exhibit 50-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images (Exhibit 50-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images (Exhibit 50-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images (Exhibit 50-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Geographic Location Tags on Digital Images (Exhibit 50-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of “3D Utility Mapping Electronically Scanned Antenna Array” by Egil Side and Jens F. Hjelmstad (the “Eide” Reference) (Exhibit 51-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of “3D Utility Mapping Electronically Scanned Antenna Array” by Egil Side and Jens F. Hjelmstad (the “Eide” Reference) (Exhibit 51-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of “3D Utility Mapping Electronically Scanned Antenna Array” by Egil Side and Jens F. Hjelmstad (the “Eide” Reference) (Exhibit 51-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of “3D Utility Mapping Electronically Scanned Antenna Array” by Egil Side and Jens F. Hjelmstad (the “Eide” Reference) (Exhibit 51-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of “3D Utility Mapping Electronically Scanned Antenna Array” by Egil Side and Jens F. Hjelmstad (the “Eide” Reference) (Exhibit 51-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Common Ground—Study of One-Call Systems and Damage Prevention Best Practices (“Common Ground”) (Exhibit 52-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC* v. *S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

References Cited

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of ArcGIS Software (2000) (Exhibit 59-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of ArcGIS Software (2000) (Exhibit 59-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of ArcGIS Software (2000) (Exhibit 59-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Portal (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 5-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Portal (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 5-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Portal (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 5-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Portal (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 5-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Web Portal (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 5-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

ProStar Data Services (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 6-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Mapping Components (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 7-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Mapping Components (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 7-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Mapping Components (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 7-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Mapping Components (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 7-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of Guardian ProStar/ProStar Mapping Components (Oct. 15, 2006) (Exhibit 7-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 in view of "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection" article in Underground Focus (Mar. 2007) (Exhibit 8-A); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 in view of "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection" article in Underground Focus (Mar. 2007) (Exhibit 8-B); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 in view of "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection" article in Underground Focus (Mar. 2007) (Exhibit 8-C); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 in view of "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection" article in Underground Focus (Mar. 2007) (Exhibit 8-D); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart for claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 in view of "Online Service, Software Enable Faster Data Collection" article in Underground Focus (Mar. 2007) (Exhibit 8-E); S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Prior Art Table; S&N Invalidity Contentions; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Opinion and Order Following Markman Hearing, May 16, 2014; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Corrected Notice of Allowability dated Apr. 25, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Corrected Notice of Allowability dated May 22, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Corrected Notice of Allowability dated May 22, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

Expert CV and Testimony of Ivan Zatkovich (10 pages); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of WO 2007/106871 ("Tucker '871") to Claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 2-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of WO 2007/106871 ("Tucker '871") to Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 2-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of WO 2007/106871 ("Tucker '871") to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-15, 17-20, and 23-26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 2-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of WO 2007/106871 ("Tucker '871") to Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 22-23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 (Exhibit 2-D); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of WO 2007/106871 ("Tucker '871") to Claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 (Exhibit 2-E); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II to Claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 4-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II to Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 4-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-15, 17-20, and 23-26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 4-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II to Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 22-23, 25, and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 (Exhibit 4-D); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II to Claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 (Exhibit 4-E); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems to Claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 5-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems to Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 5-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems to Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 5-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems to Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 (Exhibit 5-D); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems to Claims 1, 3, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 (Exhibit 5-E); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Tel-Dig One Call Brochure (1 page) (Exhibit 6); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Tel-Dig Junior—The most advanced system on earth—and below Brochure (5 pages) (Exhibit 7); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and Avoiding Utility Regulations to Claims 1-2, 17, 19-22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 8-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and Avoiding Utility Regulations to Claims 1-2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20-21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 8-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and Avoiding Utility Regulations to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-15, 17-20, and 23-26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 8-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and Avoiding Utility Regulations to Claims 1, 3, 13-14, 16, 18, 22, and 27-30 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,001 (Exhibit 8-E); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems and ESRI to Claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 9-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems and ESRI to Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 9-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems and ESRI to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-15, 17-20, and 23-26 of U.S.P.N. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 9-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of TelDig Systems and ESRI to Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 22-23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 (Exhibit 9-D); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and WO 2008/005837 (Tucker '837) to Claims 1, 2, 17, 19, 20-22, 40 and 42 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,290,204 (Exhibit 10-A); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and WO 2008/005837 (Tucker '837) to Claims 1-2, 5, 10, 12, 18, 20-21 and 23 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,340,359 (Exhibit 10-B); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and WO 2008/005837 (Tucker '837) to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-15, 17-20, and 23-26 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,265,344 (Exhibit 10-C); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Invalidity Claim Chart—Application of Virginia Pilot Program—Phases I and II and WO 2008/005837 (Tucker '837) to Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 22-23, 25 and 28 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,532,341 (Exhibit 10-D); Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- Expert Report of Ivan Zatkovich Regarding the Invalidity of U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,265,344, 8,290,204, 8,340,359, 8,407,001, and 8,532,341, dated Sep. 3, 2014; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; Apr. 29, 2014 (Eastern District of Virginia).
- GIS Best Practices, GIS for Public Works, Aug. 2006, 26 pages.
- 2001-2004 News, Jul. 5, 2004 City of Madison Implements TelDig Utility & TelDig Mobile, 12 pages.
- TelDig Mobile, Locate ticket-receiving software for remote users, p. 1.
- TelDig Mobile, Locate ticket-receiving software for remote users, p. 2.
- Mobile Computing Makes its Move on Utility Field Automation, Mar. 1, 1996, 6 pages.
- Progress Energy Chooses MapFrame's FieldSmart Software for Mobile Mapping & Field Automation, IntelligentUtility, Jul. 17, 2003, 3 pages.
- Maps joining tickets on ARKUPS' computers in trucks, ARKUPS, Jan., Feb., Mar. 2003, 2 pages.
- Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data, pp. 7-9.
- Avoiding Utility Relocations, U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-IF-02-049, Jul. 2002, 128 pages.
- Underground Utility Damage Prevention, Virginia's Pilot Program, Jan. 2006, 15 pages.
- Underground Facility Damage Prevention, Virginia's Pilot Program for One-call Location Technology Business Case, Jun. 2006, 37 pages.
- Project Plan, VA Pilot Project, Draft, Aug. 24, 2009, 15 pages.
- Virginia Pilot Project, Incorporating GPS Technology to Enhance One-Call Damage Prevention, Phase I—Electronic White Lining Project Report, Nov. 2007, 41 pages.
- One Call Technology Pilot Project, Trimble Meeting, Aug. 25, 2006, 5 pages.
- Virginia Utility Projection Service, Inc. (VUPS), Project Proposal to: U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Phase II of the Virginia Pilot Program Electronic Manifests, Jul. 31, 2007, 16 pages.
- Office Action dated Aug. 26, 2014 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.
- Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 18, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,138.
- Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 2, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,058.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Georgia Underground Marking Standards, PSC Rule 515-9-4.14, published online May 2, 2008, http://www.gauipc.com/userfiles/file/Marking_Standards_Presentation_05_02_08.pdf.

Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 23, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/063,417.

Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 12, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Office Action dated Sep. 19, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,163.

Office Action dated Jul. 18, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/185,194.

Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 4, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/741,080.

Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 13, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/831,330.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 18, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/193,337.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 3, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/758,336.

Office Action dated Jul. 30, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,121.

U.S. Appl. No. 14/612,603, filed Feb. 3, 2015, Nielsen et al.

U.S. Appl. No. 14/194,718, filed Mar. 1, 2014, Nielsen et al.

Binder 1: "Virginia Utility Protection Service, Inc. Response to US Patent Application Publication . . .," pp. DY0001-DY0755, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 2: "Patent US 2009 0237408," pp. DY0756-DY1271, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 3: "Patent US 2009 0238414," pp. DY1272-DY2210, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 4: "Patent US 2009 0238415," pp. DY2211-DY3308, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 5: "Patent US 2009 0238416," pp. DY3309-DY3889, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 6: "Patent US 2009 0238417," pp. DY3890-DY4133, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 7: "Patent US 2009 0241045," pp. DY4134-DY4276, Nov. 3, 2010.

Binder 8: "Patent US 2009 0241046," pp. DY4277-DY4499, Nov. 3, 2010.

Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 2, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,163.

Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 2, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,185.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Pat. No. 8,907,978, issued Dec. 9, 2014.

Nielsen et al., co-pending U.S. Publication No. 2014-0122149, published May 1, 2014.

S&N Locating Services, LLC's and S&N Communications, Inc.'s First Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed Jan. 23, 2015; Case No. 2:13-cv-346 (MSD) (TEM); *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

CertusView's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order Allowing S&N's Amended Answer and Counterclaims filed Feb. 2, 2015; Case No. 2:13-cv-346 (MSD) (TEM); *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Responsive Expert Report of Dr. Randel Dymond, P.E. to the Expert Report of Mr. Ivan Zatkovich dated Sep. 30, 2014, filed Nov. 13, 2014; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

CertusView's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike and in the Alternative Dismiss S&N's First Amended Answer and Counterclaims filed Mar. 2, 2015; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

CertusView's Request for Leave to File a Sur-Reply in Support of its Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees filed Mar. 18, 2015; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Defendants' Response to CertusView's Motion to Strike and in the Alternative Dismiss S&N's First Amended Answer and Counter-

claims filed Feb. 23, 2015; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Defendants' Response to CertusView's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order Allowing S&N's Amended Answer and Counterclaims filed Feb. 17, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Defendant's Response to CertusView's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order Awarding Sanctions on S&N's Motion to Compel filed Feb. 23, 2015; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Opinion and Order to Plaintiff's Rule 72 Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Jan. 16, 2015 Order Granting Defendant's Leave to Amend their Answer and Counterclaims, and on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike and in the Alternative Dismiss S&N's First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, filed May 22, 2015; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

S&N Locating Services, LLC's and S&N Communications, Inc.'s Second Amended answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed Jun. 12, 2015; Case No. 2:13-cv-346 (MSD) (TEM); *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Notice of Filing of Defendants' Second Amended Answer and Counterclaims filed Jun. 12, 2015; Case No. 2:13-cv-346 (MSD) (TEM); *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

First Action Interview Office Action dated Jun. 12, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/612,603.

Office Action dated May 21, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/950,655.

U.S. Appl. No. 14/708,530, filed May 11, 2015, Nielsen et al.

Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 5, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/191,048.

Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 19, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,121.

Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 4, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/190,185.

Office Action dated May 15, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/194,718.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 8, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/708,530.

Office Action dated Jul. 15, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/185,194.

Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 7, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/612,603.

Potts, A., "ArcInfo Version 8: Introducing the Next Generation," *ArcUser Magazine* (Apr.-Jun. 1999), 4 pages.

ESRI Press Release. Redlands, California. Jan. 2000, 3 pages.

"Features and Functions of ArcInfo 8," ESRI. Redlands, California, Sep. 1999, 18 pages.

Memorandum in Support of CertusView's Motion for Summary Judgment on S&N's Inequitable Conduct Counterclaims filed Jul. 22, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia) (Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4).

Memorandum in Support of S&N's Response to CertusView's Motion for Summary Judgment on S&N's Inequitable Conduct Counterclaims filed Jul. 29, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

CertusView's Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment on S&N's Inequitable Conduct Counterclaims filed Aug. 3, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Memorandum Order filed Aug. 7, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Opinion and Order filed Aug. 2, 2016; Case 2:13-cv-346-MSD-RJK; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.* (Eastern District of Virginia).

Opinion and Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Reconsideration of the Court's Judgment of Patent Ineligibility under 35 U.S.C. § 101 filed Aug. 10, 2016; Case 2:13-cv-346-MSD-RJK; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.* (Eastern District of Virginia).

References Cited

[illegible]

Exhibit J-12 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-13 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-14 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-15 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-16 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-17 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-18 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-19 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-20 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-21 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-22 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Exhibit J-23 to Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 4, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

CertusView's Response in Opposition to S&N's Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Feb. 27, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Exceptional Case Finding and Attorneys' Fees filed Mar. 9, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Memorandum Order regarding Functional Equivalency Objections and Sanctions Objections filed Mar. 11, 2015; Case 2:13-cv-00346-MSD-TEM; *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services, LLC et al.*, (Eastern District of Virginia).

Office Action dated Mar. 25, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/063,417.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Opinion and Order Following Motion for Judgment on Pleadings, Jan. 21, 2015; Case 2:13-cv00346-MSD-LRLVAED *CertusView Technologies, LLC v. S&N Locating Services*; (Eastern District of Virginia).
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 14, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/194,121.

Supplemental Notice of Allowability dated Dec. 4, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 14/063,417.

Office Action dated Dec. 12, 2014 from Canadian Application No. 2,707,246.

Corrected Notice of Allowability dated Nov. 10, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/741,080.

Office Action dated Jan. 7, 2015 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/185,194.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 5

**FIG. 6**




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

1

ELECTRONIC MANIFEST OF UNDERGROUND FACILITY LOCATE MARKS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application claims a priority benefit, under 35 U.S.C. §120, as a continuation (CON) of U.S. non-provisional application Ser. No. 13/953,998, filed on Jul. 30, 2013, entitled "ELECTRONIC MANIFEST OF UNDERGROUND FACILITY LOCATE MARKS."

U.S. non-provisional application Ser. No. 13/953,998, filed on Jul. 30, 2013, claims the benefit, under 35 U.S.C. §120, as a continuation (CON) of U.S. non-provisional application Ser. No. 12/029,732, filed on Feb. 12, 2008, entitled "ELECTRONIC MANIFEST OF UNDERGROUND FACILITY LOCATE MARKS."

Each of the above-identified applications is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

Excavators are required to notify underground facility owners/operators in advance of their excavation activities and to describe and communicate the geographic area of those activities to underground facility owners/operators. The geographic area so described is commonly referred to as "the dig area." In turn, facility owners/operators are required to determine if they own or operate any underground facilities at an identified dig area. The presence of underground facilities at a dig area is generally detected using a device commonly referred to as a "locate wand." Locate wands use a number of electronic methods to detect the presence of underground facilities. The location of those underground facilities, if any, which exist within a dig area, is marked using paint or some other physical marking system, such as flags. Paint is generally applied as a sequence of dashes or dots on the surface (grass, dirt, asphalt, concrete, etc.) directly above the underground facility and is color-coded to indicate to the excavator the type (e.g., gas, water, sewer, power, telephone, cable television, etc.) of the underground facility present. Flags, which also may identify the underground facility via color-coding, can be placed in the ground directly above the underground facility being marked. Paint and/or flags can be dispensed using various devices. The application of paint, flags, or some other marking object to indicate the presence of an underground facility is called a "locate." The marks resulting from a locate are commonly called underground facility "locate marks."

Underground facility owners/operators may perform locates with in-house employees or choose to hire independent contract locating firms to perform locates on their behalf. Generally, the person performing the locate operation is called a locate technician. The set of instructions necessary for a locate technician to perform a locate operation may be called a "ticket." A ticket might specify, for example, the address or description of the dig area to be marked, the day and/or time that the dig area is to be marked, and/or whether the user is to mark the dig area for telecommunications (e.g., telephone and/or cable television), power, gas, water, sewer, or some other underground facility.

It is generally recommended, or in some jurisdictions required, to document the type and number of underground facilities located, i.e. telephone, power, gas, water, sewer, etc., and the approximate geographic location of the locate marks. Often times it is also recommended or required to

2

document the distance, or "offset" of the locate marks from environmental landmarks that exist at the dig area. An environmental landmark may include any physical object that is likely to remain in a fixed location for an extended period of time. Examples of an environmental landmark may include a tree, a curb, a driveway, a utility pole, a fire hydrant, a storm drain, a pedestal, a water meter box, a manhole lid, a building structure (e.g., a residential or office building), or a light post. For example, a telephone cable located two and a half meters behind the curb of a residential street would be documented as being offset two and a half meters behind the curb. These offsets serve as evidence supporting the location of the locate marks after those locate marks may have been disturbed by the excavation process.

Documentation of some or all of the information regarding a locate operation is often called a "manifest." A manifest may typically contain a variety of information related to a locate operation including a sketch or drawing of the dig area that identifies the approximate location of the locate marks and environmental landmarks present at the dig area; the time and date the locate operation was performed; identification of the entity and the locate technician performing the locate operation; the entity requesting the locate operation; the geographic address of the dig area; the type of markings used for the locate operation (e.g., colored paint, flags, or other markers); notes from the locate technician; and/or a technician signature.

If performing locate operations with in-house employees, each individual underground facility owner/operator generally documents on the manifest only the existence of its facilities and the approximate location of its locate marks. If an independent contract locating firm is hired to perform locates for more than one underground facility owner/operator, the contract locating firm may document on the manifest some or all of the underground facilities at the dig area that it located and the approximate location of all the locate marks.

Currently, locate marks are generally documented using a sketching process which results in the creation of a paper manifest. Sketches are produced by hand, are not to scale, prone to human error, and costly in drafting time spent by the locate technician. They are stored manually or in some jurisdictions are digitally scanned/photographed and the image stored electronically. Because the manifests are stored as paper or digital images, they are not easily interrogated for data in any mechanized way.

SUMMARY

According to one aspect, a method performed by a device may include receiving information regarding a particular geographic area; retrieving an aerial image of the particular geographic area; displaying the aerial image; determining an approximate geographic location of a locate mark denoting an underground facility; overlaying, on the displayed aerial image, information concerning the geographic location of the locate mark denoting the underground facility; and storing the aerial image and the information concerning the approximate geographic location of the locate mark denoting the underground facility.

According to another aspect, a device may include a memory to store aerial images of a plurality of geographic areas and a processing unit. The processing unit may receive information regarding a particular one of the geographic areas; retrieve one of the aerial images from the memory based on the received information; receive information concerning an approximate geographic location of an under-

3

ground facility located within the particular geographic area; present, on the retrieved aerial image, information concerning the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks; and store the retrieved aerial image and the information concerning the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate mark.

According to a further aspect, a system may include means for identifying a geographic area; means for retrieving an aerial image of the geographic area; means for displaying the retrieved image; means for receiving input from a user concerning an approximate geographic location of underground facility locate marks that are located within the geographic area; means for presenting, on the displayed aerial image, a marking that identifies the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks within the geographic area; and means for storing the displayed aerial image with the marking that identifies the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks.

According to another aspect, a system may include a central server to store a plurality of aerial images of a corresponding plurality of geographical locations; and a user device. The user device may identify a particular geographic location; determine whether the user device stores an aerial image corresponding to the particular geographic location; retrieve the aerial image from the central server when the user device does not store the aerial image corresponding to the particular geographic location; retrieve the aerial image from a local memory when the user device stores the aerial image corresponding to the particular geographic location; display the aerial image; receive information regarding an approximate location of underground facility locate marks located at the particular geographic location; and present, on the displayed aerial image, a marking that identifies the approximate location of the underground facility locate marks.

According to still another aspect, a method performed by a device may include receiving information regarding a particular geographic area; retrieving an aerial image of the particular geographic area; receiving, from a GPS-enabled device, information regarding an approximate location of underground facility locate marks; displaying, as a combined image, the aerial image and the information regarding the approximate location of underground facility locate marks; and storing the combined image.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate one or more embodiments described herein and, together with the description, explain these embodiments. In the drawings:

FIG. 1 is a diagram of a concept described herein;

FIG. 2 is a diagram of an exemplary network in which systems and methods described herein may be implemented;

FIG. 3 is a diagram of exemplary components of the user device of FIG. 2;

FIG. 4 is a diagram of exemplary components of the central server of FIG. 2;

FIG. 5 is a diagram of exemplary software routines for components of FIG. 2;

FIG. 6 is a flowchart of an exemplary process for creating an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks;

FIG. 7 is a diagram of an exemplary data set that may be stored in the memory of FIG. 3 and/or FIG. 4; and

4

FIG. 8 is a diagram of an exemplary user interface that may be presented via the user device of FIG. 2.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following detailed description refers to the accompanying drawings. The same reference numbers in different drawings may identify the same or similar elements. Also, the following detailed description does not limit the invention.

Overview

FIG. 1 is a diagram of a concept described herein. When locating underground facilities at a geographic location, such as at a dig area **100** associated with a residence or a business, it may be beneficial to document locate marks in a permanent and reproducible manner. For example, a locate technician may locate and mark underground facilities using a locating device and/or a marking device. A locating device may generally be defined as a locating wand or another device used to detect the presence of underground facilities; while a marking device may generally be defined as any tool to apply paint or other material to a surface, such as a paint wand. The locate technician may use paint, flags, or some other object with a particular color or other characteristic to mark the location of an underground facility. Referring to the example shown in FIG. 1, the locate technician may use red paint to mark underground power lines **110**, orange paint to mark telecommunications (e.g., telephone and/or cable television) lines **120**, and yellow paint to mark gas lines **130**.

The locate technician may also identify one or more environmental landmarks that are present at or near the dig area and/or determine the distance between the environmental landmark(s) and the located underground facility. For example, a transformer **140** may be indicated as an environmental landmark, as shown in FIG. 1. The geographic location of transformer **140** may be used to measure offsets to other locate marks in the dig area.

As described herein, documentation of some or all of this information regarding a locate operation is created as an electronic manifest. An electronic manifest, as used herein, may generally refer to one or more computer-readable files that include some or all of the information in a manifest. The electronic manifest may be created using aerial images of a dig area, such as dig area **100**, that may be combined with information that is added by the user about the locate marks and/or the environmental landmarks. In other implementations, an electronic manifest may be created using aerial images of a dig area combined with information about locate marks that is provided by other sources. Other implementations may use aerial images of a dig area combined with information that is added by the user and information that is provided by other sources. As used herein, an "aerial image" is intended to be broadly interpreted as any image taken from above the earth's surface, such as, for example, images generated using a satellite, airplane, helicopter, or other moving or fixed device. Also as used herein, a "user" may refer to any person operating a device to create an electronic manifest, such as a locate technician, a site supervisor, or any other person or group of people.

Exemplary Network

FIG. 2 is a diagram of an exemplary network **200** in which systems and methods described herein may be implemented. As shown in FIG. 2, the network **200** may include a user

device 210 connected to a central server 220 and an image server 230 via a network 240. A single user device 210, central server 220, and image server 230 have been illustrated as connected to network 240 for simplicity. In practice, there may be more or fewer user devices and/or servers. For example, in one alternative implementation, the user device 210 may operate as a comprehensive device and, thus, the network 200 may include no central server, with user device 210 communicating directly through network 240 to image server 230. Also, in some instances, the user device 210 may perform one or more of the functions of the central server 220 and/or central server 220 may perform one or more of the functions of the user device 210. In still another implementation, multiple user devices 210 may be connected to the central server 220 through the network 240.

The user device 210 may encompass a computer device, such as a laptop computer, a small personal computer, a tablet device, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a mobile computing device, a touch-screen device, or generally any device including or connecting to a processor and a display. The user device 210 may be portable so as to be separately carried by the user performing a locate operation. Alternatively, the user device 210 may be integrated with or affixed to another moveable object, such as a vehicle.

The central server 220 may include a computer device that may store information received from or provided to the user device 210 and/or the image server 230. The central server 220 may include storage capacity and/or optionally include networked access to one or more separate hardware components, such as images cache 235, to store cached images and the like.

The image server 230 may include a computer device that may store and provide aerial images of geographic locations. The image server 230 may be associated with the same, or a different, party that maintains the central server 220. For example, the image server 230 may be associated with a party that provides aerial images for a fee.

The network 240 may include a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), a telephone network, such as the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) or a cellular network, an intranet, the Internet, a communications link, or a combination of networks. The user device 210, central server 220, and image server 230 may connect to the network 240 via wired and/or wireless connections. The user device 210 and central server 220 may communicate using any communication protocol.

Exemplary User Device Architecture

FIG. 3 is a diagram of exemplary components of the user device 210. The user device 210 may include a bus 310, a processing unit 320, a memory 330, an input device 340, an output device 350, a location identification unit 360, and a communication interface 370. In another implementation, the user device 210 may include more, fewer, or different components. For example, the location identification unit 360 may not be included, or the location identification unit 360 may be included as a device located external to the user device 210, such as a device worn or carried by a user of the user device 210.

The bus 310 may include a path that permits communication among the components of the user device 210. The processing unit 320 may include a processor, a microprocessor, or processing logic that may interpret and execute instructions. The memory 330 may include a random access memory (RAM), a read only memory (ROM), a memory card, a magnetic and/or optical recording medium and its

corresponding drive, or another type of memory device. Generally, the memory 330 may be sufficient to store and manipulate aerial images, such as those stored in a local image cache 335. In one implementation, the local image cache 335 may include one or more aerial images of a dig area to be marked by a user. In another implementation, the local image cache 335 may include a series of aerial images that correspond to the geographical region to which a particular user is assigned. For example, local image cache 335 may include a collection of high-resolution images of a particular zip code or town. In still another implementation, the local image cache 335 may include an entire set of aerial images intended to be made available to multiple users.

The input device 340 may include one or more mechanisms that permit a user to input information to the user device 210, such as a keyboard, a keypad, a touchpad, a mouse, a stylus, a touch screen, a camera, or the like. Alternatively, or additionally, the input device 340 may include a microphone that can capture a user's intent by capturing the user's audible commands. Alternatively, or additionally, the input device 340 may interact with a device that monitors a condition of the user, such as eye movement, brain activity, or heart rate. The output device 350 may include a mechanism that outputs information to the user, such as a display, a speaker, or the like.

The location identification unit 360 may include a device that can determine its geographic location to a certain degree of accuracy, such as a global positioning system (GPS) or a global navigation satellite system (GNSS) receiver. In another implementation, the location identification unit 360 may include a device that determines location using another technique, such as tower (e.g., cellular tower) triangulation. The location identification unit 360 may receive location tracking signals (e.g., GPS signals) and determine its location based on these signals. In one implementation, location identification unit 360 may be capable of determining its location within approximately thirty centimeters or less.

The communication interface 370 may include any transceiver-like mechanism that enables user device 210 to communicate with other devices and/or systems. For example, the communication interface 370 may include mechanisms for communicating with another device or system via a network. For example, the communication interface 370 may enable communications between the user device 210 and the central server 220 and/or image server 230 over network 240.

As will be described in detail below, user device 210 may perform certain operations relating to the documentation of locate operations and/or the creation of an electronic manifest. User device 210 may perform these operations in response to the processing unit 320 executing software instructions contained in a computer-readable medium, such as the memory 330. A computer-readable medium may be defined as a physical or logical memory device.

The software instructions may be read into the memory 330 from another computer-readable medium, or from another device via the communication interface 370. The software instructions contained in the memory 330 may cause processing unit 320 to perform processes that will be described later. Alternatively, hardwired circuitry may be used in place of, or in combination with, software instructions to implement processes described herein. Thus, implementations described herein are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

Exemplary Central Server Architecture

FIG. 4 is a diagram of exemplary components of the central server 220. The central server 220 may include a bus

410, a processing unit 420, a memory 430, and a communication interface 440. In another implementation, the central server 220 may include more, fewer, or different components. For example, an input device and/or an output device (not shown) may be included, as necessary.

The bus 410 may include a path that permits communication among the components of the central server 220. The processing unit 420 may include a processor, a microprocessor, or processing logic that may interpret and execute instructions. The memory 430 may include a magnetic and/or optical recording medium and its corresponding drive, a RAM, a ROM, a memory card, or another type of memory device suitable for high capacity data storage. Generally, the memory 430 may be sufficient to store aerial images of particular geographic locations, such as those stored in a central image cache 435. In one implementation, the central image cache 435 may include a set of aerial images that correspond to the geographical regions to which a group of users are assigned. In still another implementation, the central image cache 435 may include the entire set of aerial images intended to be made available to any of a group of users. For example, central image cache 435 may include a collection of high-resolution aerial images of a particular county, state or other geographic region. In another implementation, as shown in FIG. 2, central image cache 435 may be replaced or supplemented with one or more networked storage components, such as image cache 235.

The communication interface 440 may include any transceiver-like mechanism that enables the central server 220 to communicate with other devices and/or systems. For example, the communication interface 440 may include mechanisms for communicating with another device or system via a network. For example, the communication interface 440 may enable communications between the central server 220 and the user device 210 and/or image server 230 over network 240.

As will be described in detail below, the central server 220 may perform certain operations to facilitate the documentation of locate operations and/or the creation of an electronic manifest. The central server 220 may perform these operations in response to the processing unit 420 executing software instructions contained in a computer-readable medium, such as the memory 430.

The software instructions may be read into the memory 430 from another computer-readable medium, or from another device via the communication interface 440. The software instructions contained in the memory 430 may cause processing unit 420 to perform processes that will be described later. Alternatively, hardwired circuitry may be used in place of or in combination with software instructions to implement processes described herein. Thus, implementations described herein are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

Exemplary Routines

FIG. 5 is a diagram of exemplary software routines for the components shown in FIG. 2. The central server 220 may include an image retrieval routine 510 and a central image cache routine 520. The user device 210 may include a synchronize routine 530, a local image cache routine 540, an image display routine 550, a user input routine 560, and a ticket manager routine 570. As discussed in more detail herein, the examples of routines associated with the central server 220 and the user device 210 may be interchangeable between each hardware component. Furthermore, some or

all of routines 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 560, and 570 need not be performed exclusively by any one hardware component.

Still referring to FIG. 5, the image server 230 may store a library of aerial images. Generally, the aerial images may be of sufficient resolution at an optimal elevation to be useful as a record of the locate operation. The aerial images from the image server 230 may include geocoding or other geographical identification metadata and may be provided in any computer-readable format, such as JPEG file interchange format (JPEG), tagged image file format (TIFF), portable document format (PDF), graphics interchange format (GIF), bitmap (BMP), portable network graphics (PNG), Windows® metafile (WMF), and/or the like. Also, aerial images from the image server 230 may include a combination of images or overlays, such as overlays of street names, regions, landmark descriptions, and/or other information about areas displayed in an image. The aerial images from the image server 230 may be supplied by a third-party provider if the coverage area of the third-party image provider overlaps with the desired area of the user.

The central image cache routine 510 and the image retrieval routine 520 of the central server 220 may include a variety of functionalities. In certain implementations, the central image cache routine 510 may receive information about specific tickets and parse tickets in order to discern location information. For example, a ticket may identify the dig area by an address of the property or by geographic coordinates. The ticket might specify, for example, the address or description of the dig area to be marked, the day and/or time that the dig area is to be marked, and/or whether the user is to mark the dig area for telecommunications (e.g., telephone and/or cable television), power, gas, water, sewer, or some other underground facility.

The central image cache routine 510 may also convert dig area location information to latitude/longitude coordinates or other coordinates. When location information from a ticket is sufficiently precise to allow for identification of corresponding imagery, the central image cache routine 510 may calculate the image extent (which may be generally defined as the bounding region of the dig area of interest), and update the ticket with the calculated extent. In one implementation, the central image cache routine 510 may determine image date, coordinates, and resolution of each image that may be stored in the central image cache 435 or in another location. In another implementation, when location information from a ticket is imprecise (or “fuzzy”), the central image cache routine 510 may mark the ticket to indicate that no corresponding image was able to be retrieved based on the ticket information.

In another implementation, central image cache 510 may identify an image to retrieve based on GPS coordinates of a GPS-enabled device associated with a user. For example, a user may arrive at an excavation site in a GPS-enabled vehicle and the GPS information from the vehicle may be used to identify coordinates corresponding to an image to be retrieved. GPS coordinates may also be obtained from other GPS-enabled devices being used by or in the vicinity of the user. As used herein a GPS-enabled device may include any device or combination of devices capable of interfacing with a global navigation satellite system, geo-spatial positioning system, or other location-identification system to determine a location. Examples of GPS-enabled devices may include a marking device (e.g., a paint wand) with an integrated GPS receiver; a locating device (e.g., a locating wand) with a GPS receiver; a wearable GPS-enabled device; a vehicle-

mounted GPS system; certain PDAs, computers, and cellular telephones; and stand-alone GPS-enabled systems.

In still another implementation, central image cache **510** may identify one or more images to request based on a designated geographical area assigned to a user. For example, a user may be assigned to work in several dig areas associated with a particular section of a neighborhood. The user may input coordinates associated with the entire selected section of the neighborhood, and central image cache **510** may then retrieve images for those coordinates.

The image retrieval routine **520** catalogues and stores images from the image server **230** to the central server **220**. For example, images may be stored in the central image cache **435** in the memory **430** of the central server **220**. In one implementation, the image retrieval routine **520** may query the central image cache **435** or other cache for an image associated with a particular dig area relating to a ticket of interest, and determine, based on (for example) the age and resolution of the cached image, whether the image in the central image cache **435** needs to be updated from the image server **230**.

In another implementation, the image retrieval routine **520** may interface with multiple image providers and image servers **230**. The image retrieval routine **520** may determine which image provider is the best source for the image corresponding to a particular dig area relating to a ticket of interest based on algorithms that factor, for example, each image provider's geographical coverage, image resolution, cost, and availability. Regarding geographical coverage, it will be beneficial to confirm that the image provider's area of coverage includes the desired extent (in other words, the entire geographical region of interest to the user).

Regarding image resolution, available resolution may be measured in meters (or centimeters, feet, or inches) per pixel. For example, one provider may offer thirty centimeters per pixel, while another offers fifteen centimeters or less per pixel, for the same coverage area. If an image is requested at a standard altitude, then the image retrieval routine **520** may choose a pre-defined optimal scale (for example, thirty centimeters per pixel for a rural area, but fifteen centimeters per pixel for an urban area) and determine which provider provides images at the pre-defined optimal scale. Alternatively, if the image of interest is at a less granular scale (for example, a community or neighborhood image that allows the locator to pan around the image), then resolution may not be a significant factor.

Regarding cost, the image retrieval routine **520** may have access to pricing information for a variety of image providers. The image retrieval routine **520** may identify which provider has the lowest cost for the desired image. Cost analysis may be based on images desired for an individual ticket or the algorithm may account for a group of image requests, including volume incentives and/or penalties from each image provider.

Regarding availability of image providers, the image retrieval routine **520** may identify what providers are available and/or operational. Also, if an image provider has a regular latency profile (for example, if a provider has a particular server that is busiest 3-5 PM Pacific time), then the image retrieval routine **520** may manage requests to be provided to another image provider or to a particular server of that image provider to efficiently load share the image retrieval.

When an image provider is selected, the image retrieval routine **520** may download the image from the selected image provider's server, which may be an image server **230**.

The downloaded image may be stored locally, for example, in the central image cache **435**.

It should be understood that some of the routines and/or functionalities described above with respect to the central image cache routine **510** and the image retrieval routine **520** may be performed by one or both of the routines **510** and **520** above, and the arrangement of functionalities are not limited to the implementations disclosed herein.

The synchronize routine **530** for user device **210** may ensure that images already stored and manipulated on the user device **210** correspond to images stored in the central server **220**. When a user performing a locate operation identifies a ticket or dig area, the synchronize routine **530** may check if an image exists in the central server **220** that matches the extent requested, and if the matching image is up-to-date in, for example, the local image cache **335**. The synchronize routine **530** may also synchronize images from the central server **220** cache and store copies locally in the user device **210**.

If the ticket has a valid extent (i.e., a recognizable boundary), the local image cache routine **540** may associate the ticket information with an image matching the extent. The local image cache routine **540** may load the image from the local image cache **335**. If the ticket does not have a valid extent, the local image cache routine **540** may accept address information that is entered by the user. Alternatively, the local image cache routine **540** may read the local address information from the ticket or from a GPS-enabled device in communication with the user device **210** so that address information may be pre-entered for the user to the extent possible. Address information may include, for example, a street address, street name, city, state and/or zip code. If either none or multiple stored addresses appear to be associated with particular address information, the local image cache routine **540** may display a list of best match addresses from which a user can select.

Once an image is loaded from the local cache **335**, image display routine **550** may provide a variety of view options for the user. For example, the image display routine **550** may support zooming in and out of the image by changing the image scale. Also, the image display routine **550** may support panning horizontally and vertically in the image. Furthermore, the image display routine **550** may support "roaming" outside the boundaries of the initial extent. Roaming generally occurs when the user zooms or pans, such that images beyond the boundaries of the stored images may be required to be retrieved (using, for example, synchronize routine **530**) from either the local image cache **335** or the central server **220**. The additional images retrieved from either the local image cache **335** or the central server **220** may be displayed and stitched together to display a complete image.

The user input routine **560** allows the user to add information to the image to create an electronic manifest. The user input routine **560** may accept user input from, for example, input device **340**, and may support the addition of lines, freehand forms (or scribbling), shapes such as circles and rectangles, shading, or other markings which denote the approximate location of underground facilities which are present within the dig area. A drawing shape may generally be any kind of drawing shape or mark. The user input routine **560** may further enable drawing of underground facility locate marks for telecommunications (e.g., telephone and cable television), gas, power, water, sewer, and the like, so that each type of drawn locate mark is distinguishable from the other(s). The user input routine **560** may limit the display of such facilities by the type of work which is to be

11

performed according to the instructions included within the user's assigned ticket. In addition to the marking of the underground facility locate marks on the aerial image, user input routine **560** may also include offsets from environmental landmarks that may be displayed on the image in, for example, English or metric units. Environmental landmarks may also be marked and/or highlighted on the aerial image. The user input routine **560** may also accept positioning information from external sources, such as a GPS-enabled device. The user input routine **560** may further include features to annotate the image with text and to revise user inputs by, for example deleting, dragging or pasting shapes. In one implementation, when the user zooms the image view in or out, user input (e.g., lines and/or shapes) that have been added to the original image may adhere to the changing image scale and remain in the original user-input locations.

The electronic manifest, which is a compilation of the aerial image and user inputs, may be saved as an image file. In another implementation, the user inputs may be saved in a mark-up format, including the geo-coordinates and underground facility type of each input.

In one implementation, the user device **210** may interface with a ticket management program for coordinating multiple tickets. The ticket manager routine **570** may facilitate such an interface. The ticket management program for coordinating multiple tickets may reside on the central server **220**, for example, or on a separate server that is accessible to the user device **210**. Generally, tickets may be stored on a central server and assigned to a user. When a user edits a ticket, the user may also have created an electronic manifest associated with the ticket. The ticket manager routine **570** may allow the user to synchronize the user's ticket cache with the company's central database and also synchronize the images and user input. The ticket manager routine **570** may copy images from the central server **220** to the user device **210** for new tickets, and will copy the user input from the user device **210** to the central server **220** for completed tickets. The ticket manager routine **570** may interface with the routines described above to correlate a user's assigned tickets with images for those tickets and download the images to the user device from the central server **220**. The ticket manager routine **570** may retrieve the corresponding ticket number from the ticket management program when the user retrieves an image, or the ticket manager routine **570** may retrieve the image corresponding to an entered ticket number.

FIG. **6** provides a flowchart **600** of an exemplary process for creating an electronic manifest relating to underground facility locate marks. In one implementation, at least some of the blocks of FIG. **6** may be performed using user device **210** (FIG. **2**). In another implementation, one or more of the blocks of FIG. **6** may be manually performed or performed by another device, such as central server **220**.

The process **600** may begin with a user being dispatched to a dig area to be located. The user might be given a ticket that identifies what underground facilities the user needs to locate at the dig area. The ticket might specify, for example, the address or description of the dig area to be located, the day and/or time that the dig area is to be located, and/or whether the user is to locate the dig area for telecommunications, power, gas, water, sewer, or other underground facility. Based on information in the ticket, or other information about the dig area to be located, user device **210** in block **610** may associate the property address with a stored aerial image of the dig area. Such association may include

12

associating the address with geographic location information, such as global positioning coordinates for the dig area extent (or boundary).

In block **620**, the stored aerial image associated with the dig area to be located is retrieved from a cache of images and loaded into the user device **210**. As previously described discussed herein with respect to FIG. **5**, the cache of images may reside within the user device **210**, the central server **220**, a separate image server, or another storage device.

In block **630**, the user may perform a locate operation to locate the underground facilities present within the dig area and mark the located underground facilities using a locating device and/or marking device, or a combined locating/marking device. For example, the user may use the locating device to identify an underground facility at the dig area, and may use the marking device to mark the underground facility with the appropriate marker (e.g., color paint, flag, or some other object). In certain implementations, information regarding the approximate geographic location of the applied underground facility locate marks may be gathered and stored electronically using a GPS-enabled device or other location identification device. The approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks may be determined, for example, by identifying the current geographic location of the GPS-enabled device as the user performs the locating or marking. In another implementation, a user may use a triangularization technique to determine the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks. In yet another implementation, a user may determine latitude and longitude coordinates or some other measurement of a geographic location.

In block **640**, information about the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks may be added to the stored aerial image that was retrieved previously in block **620**. The information about the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks may be input by the user using an input device, such as input device **340** (FIG. **3**) of user device **210**. Additional aspects regarding information to be input by the user is discussed in more detail herein with respect to FIG. **8**.

Still referring to block **640**, information about the approximate geographic location of the underground facility locate marks may also be received directly from a GPS-enabled device, such as the GPS-enabled locating device or marking device used in block **630**, and overlaid on the retrieved image. The user may use of a combination of received GPS information and manual entries to create an electronic manifest of the underground facility locate marks.

In block **645**, if necessary, information about offsets of the underground facility locate marks from environmental landmarks may be added to the stored aerial image that was retrieved previously in block **620**. As with the input of the facility locations in block **640**, the location of the environmental landmarks may be input by the user using an input device, such as input device **340** (FIG. **3**) of user device **210**, or automatically input from a GPS-enabled device. The offset information may be automatically calculated or input by the user. Offset information may also be obtained by identifying selected environmental landmarks on the retrieved image and automatically calculating the distance from the selected environmental landmarks to the underground facility locate marks overlaid on the image.

In block **650**, if necessary, information about the location of the underground facility locate marks may be converted to GPS coordinates. In block **660**, the retrieved aerial image and information about the location of the underground facility locate marks may be stored in memory as a single

13

combined image or electronic manifest. The electronic manifest may be stored as, for example, a digital image or an interactive electronic map. Additionally or alternatively, in block 670, the geographical coordinates of the underground facility locate marks may be stored in memory, such as memory 330 (FIG. 3), as a separate data set. The data set may be compiled as, for example, a database of GPS coordinates. In block 680, the combined image and/or separate data set may optionally be transmitted to a central location, such as central server 220 (FIG. 2).

FIG. 7 is a diagram of an exemplary data set that may be stored in memory 330 and/or transmitted to server 220. As shown in FIG. 7, a data set 700 may include a timestamp field 710, an underground facility identifier field 720, an underground facility location field 730, an environmental landmark identifier field 740, an environmental landmark location field 750, an other information field 760, a marking method field 770, a property address field 780, and a ticket number field 790. In another implementation, the data set 700 may include additional, fewer, or different fields.

Timestamp field 710 may include time data that identifies the day and/or time that the environmental landmark location was identified. The time data in timestamp field 710 is shown in FIG. 7 as 9:43 a.m. on Oct. 20, 2005—although any type of date and/or time code may be used. The information in timestamp field 710 may be useful in establishing when a locate operation occurred.

The underground facility identifier field 720 may include an identifier that uniquely identifies the type of underground facility that was marked. The identifier in underground facility identifier field 720 is shown in FIG. 7 as “power”—although any type of identifier may be used. Underground facility location field 730 may include geographic location information corresponding to an underground facility locate mark. In one implementation, the geographic location information may include a set of geographic points along the marking path of the located underground facility. The geographic location information in underground facility location field 730 is shown in FIG. 7 as N38°51.40748, W077°20.27798; . . . ; N38°51.40784, W077°20.27865—although any type of geographic location information may be used. The information in underground facility location field 730 may be useful in graphically presenting the underground facility locate marks on a map, and/or to verify that the locate operation was actually and accurately performed. Additionally, or alternatively, underground facility location field 730 may include geographic location information for multiple underground facility locate marks.

Environmental landmark identifier field 740 may include an identifier that uniquely identifies the type of environmental landmark being marked. The identifier in environmental landmark identifier field 740 is shown in FIG. 7 as “curb”—although any type of identifier may be used.

Environmental landmark location field 750 may include geographic location information corresponding to the environmental landmark identified in environmental landmark identifier field 740. The geographic location information in environmental landmark location field 750 is shown in FIG. 7 as N38°51.40756, W077°20.27805; . . . ; N38°51.40773, W077°20.27858—although any type of geographic location information may be used.

Other information field 760 may store other data that may be useful, including user notes, such as distance information that identifies a distance between one or more environmental landmarks and one or more underground facility locate marks. Other information field 760 is shown in FIG. 7 as including “1.2 meters between curb and power line”—

14

although any other data may be used. Additionally and/or alternatively, other information field 760 may include audio/voice data, transcribed voice-recognition data, or the like to incorporate user notes.

The underground facility owner field 765 may include the name of the owner/operator of the underground facility that has been marked during the locate operation. For example, in FIG. 7, the underground facility owner field 765 is shown as “ABC Corp.” Because multiple underground facilities may be marked during a single locate operation, it may be beneficial to associate each marked underground facility with a particular owner/operator.

Marking method field 770 may indicate the type of marking used at the dig area to indicate the location of an underground facility. For example, in FIG. 7, marking method field 770 is shown indicating red paint. Property address field 780 may be the property address associated with the marking recorded in the data set 700. The property address field 780 may include, for example, the street address and zip code of the property. Other information in field 780 may include city, state, and/or county identifiers. The ticket number field 790 may include the ticket number associated with the locate operation, such as ticket “1234567” shown in FIG. 7.

In one implementation, the user device 210 may store multiple data sets corresponding to multiple underground facilities identified at a particular dig area. User device 210 may provide the data sets to server 220 in a batch—such as a batch corresponding to the group of underground facilities documented within the electronic manifest—or individually. The batch may be grouped together with other information generally relating to the locate operation, such as the name of the company responsible for performing the locate operation, the name of the locate technician, and the like. Additionally, or alternatively, the other information generally relating to the locate operation may be included in each data set.

FIG. 8 is an exemplary diagram of a user interface 340 that may be presented via the user device 210. The user interface may be presented on a screen 800 that may be the screen of the user device 210, as described herein with respect to FIG. 2. The screen 800 may display a variety of graphical elements, including but not limited to: a map control 810, an address search panel 820, a locator palette 830, a navigation palette 840, a status bar 850, a menu bar 860, a service grid 870, and a scale bar 880.

Map control 810 generally may be the surface, or canvas, where images—such as an exemplary image 802—are displayed. The user may draw or input shapes “on top of” this surface using for example, the input device 340 of FIG. 3 to identify underground facility locate mark locations. FIG. 8 shows a stylus 804 as an exemplary form of input device 340.

The address search panel 820 may be used to identify images corresponding to a desired address. Panel 820 may, for example, accept a partial or complete address and allow the user to search for matches. If an excessive number of addresses match the search, then the size of the result set may be constrained. Address search results may be displayed which match the address search. The listed matches may serve as a springboard for displaying the image desired by the user. For example, when the user taps with a stylus 802 on an address match, the user device 210 may load the image corresponding to the selected address. As described above, this image may be stored locally on user device 210 or retrieved from central server 220.

15

Palettes may be generally defined as a toolbar or toolbars containing soft buttons or other controls that are grouped in some logical order. The buttons on a palette may duplicate the commands available on the menu bar **860**. The locator palette **830** may allow the user to select the type of underground facility locate marks (e.g., electric, gas, water, sewer, telecommunications, etc.) the user will draw on the image **802**. The locator palette **830** may also include a choice of various shapes or shades, such as freestyle, line, circle, rectangle, or other polygon that the user may select to draw on the image **802**. In one implementation, the locator palette **830** may present a list of potential environmental landmark identifiers. In this case, the user may select an environmental landmark identifier from the list to overlay at the appropriate place on the aerial image **802**.

The locator palette **830** may also include an offset tool that allows the user to mark the distance between, for example, an environmental landmark identifier and a drawn underground facility locate mark. Once the user has chosen the type of shape they wish to draw (freestyle, line, polygon, shading etc.) the application may track the user's movements to define the layout and location of the shape. The shape may be completed when the user terminates the drawing (for example, by lifting the stylus **804** or releasing the mouse button). A text label or other indicator may be added to the shape automatically based on the type of underground facility locate mark or environmental landmark selected (e.g., "electric" or "curb") or may be manually added.

The navigation palette **840** may allow the user to zoom or pan the image **802**. For example, the navigation palette **840** may include selections to zoom in, zoom out, or zoom to a selected section of the image. The navigation palette **840** may also include pan command buttons to pan left, pan right, pan up or pan down. Other selections that may be available on the navigation palette include buttons to alter the transparency of either the image **802** or the underground facility locate marks.

The status bar **850** may display information about the map control, such as the coordinates of the subject area, the coordinates of a cursor or stylus in relation to the image **802**, and the image scale. The menu bar **860** may include an operating system element that allows a user to access commands, such as exiting the application, selecting what palettes or panels to display, or accessing online help.

The service grid **870** is shown as an exemplary "floating" window to show how the user interface for the screen **800** may operate in a typical operating system environment. The service grid **870** or any of the other graphical elements described in relation to screen **800** may be in a fixed or floating orientation. As underground facility locate marks are drawn on the map control **810**, they may appear in a list in the service grid **870**. Thus, the user may edit the properties of an underground facility shape using the service grid **870**, as well as by selecting the shape in the map control **810**. The service grid may include properties, such as the type, length, circumference, and material of the marked underground facility.

An electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks may serve several purposes. For example, the electronic manifest may provide significant improvements in accuracy and save time for the locate technician. Manual sketching is time consuming and imprecise. For example, with manual sketching, the general geographic features of the dig area location, i.e. roads, sidewalks, landscaping, buildings, and other landmarks, must be reproduced by the locate technician. Creation of an electronic manifest that

16

includes drafting on retrieved aerial images may improve accuracy and eliminate drafting of these general geographic features.

Additionally, or alternatively, an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks may provide a variety of data formats from a single user event. For example, electronic drafting creates data about the electronic manifest which can be reviewed without viewing the image. The type of marked underground facilities can be determined based upon the existence of different colors or other coding schema, length of marks for each underground facility can be approximated, and the existence and length of offsets detected. If available, the location of the marks can be cross-checked to the user's description or depiction of the area to be marked or excavated.

Additionally, or alternatively, an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks may provide for easier dissemination and record-keeping. Electronic manifests can be associated with individual tickets and recalled electronically, avoiding the uncertainties and errors associated with manual filing systems. Furthermore, electronic manifests can be interrogated to ensure that the information recorded on the electronic manifest accurately comports with billing data or other information regarding the locate operation(s) performed.

Additionally, or alternatively, information from the electronic manifest regarding the distance between environmental landmarks and located underground facility locate marks may be used to verify subsequent locate operations or the accuracy of the electronic manifest. For example, if the information identifies an underground facility as running parallel to the curb at a distance of three meters, that information may be used to assess the accuracy or consistency of a subsequent locate operation at the same dig area or, upon inspection, the accuracy of the electronic manifest.

Additionally, or alternatively, information from the electronic manifest regarding the number and types of underground facilities may be used to estimate the scope of a subsequent locate operation to be performed at a dig area. For example, a large number of underground facilities may be indicative of an extensive (i.e., time-consuming) locate operation.

Additionally, or alternatively, information from the electronic manifest may be used by a quality control supervisor and/or damage inspector to verify the accuracy of the underground facility locate marks. For example, if the user who performed a locate operation indicated that an underground facility runs parallel to a driveway at a distance of two meters, then the quality control supervisor or damage inspector may use this information to verify whether the marks properly reflected the actual location of the underground facilities present within the dig area. Also information from the electronic manifest may be used to train a user and/or to perform quality control relating to a user's work.

CONCLUSION

Aspects of the invention as described herein enable retrieving from a database the appropriate aerial image of a specific geographic location, or dig area, where locate operations are to be conducted for underground facilities. The user may draft, on the retrieved image, a variety of features, including but not limited to (1) the type of underground facilities marked using an appropriate color or other coding schema, (2) the number of underground facilities marked within the dig area, (3) the approximate geographic location of each set of underground facility locate marks,

17

and (4) the appropriate environmental landmark offsets for each set of underground facility locate marks. The combination of the retrieved image and additional information drafted by the user may be saved in a variety of formats as an electronic manifest. Other information regarding the specific geographic location of the locate marks and environmental landmarks may be incorporated into the electronic manifest using direct input from GPS-enabled positioning tools and the like.

The foregoing description is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the description to the precise form disclosed. Modifications and variations are possible in light of the above disclosure or may be acquired from practice of the invention.

For example, certain information was described as being presented visually on a screen of user device 210. In other implementations, this information may be audibly provided to the user. Also, particular information was described as being input via an input device 340, such as a screen of user device 210. In other implementations, this information may be provided in other ways, such as by receiving inputs via input keys and/or buttons, by recognizing speech of the user, or by monitoring a condition of the user. More particularly, the input device 340 may be capable of capturing signals that reflect a user's intent. For example, the input device 340 may include a microphone that can capture a user's intent by capturing the user's audible commands. Alternatively, the input device 340 may interact with a device that monitors a condition of the user, such as eye movement, brain activity, or heart rate.

As another example, certain components, such as user device 210 and central server 220 were described as using an image cache. In other implementations, user device 210 and/or central server 220 may communicate with an image server (such as imager server 230) in real-time, so that no image cache may be required. In still other implementations, the user device 210 may, for example, communicate in real time with the central server 220.

As another example, it should be noted that reference to a GPS-enabled device is not limited to GPS systems only, and that any global navigation satellite system or other system that provides geo-spatial positioning may be used in implementations of the invention.

Also, while a series of blocks has been described with regard to FIG. 6, the order of the blocks may be modified in other implementations. Further, non-dependent blocks may be performed in parallel.

It will be apparent that aspects, as described above, may be implemented in many different forms of software, firmware, and hardware in the implementations illustrated in the figures. The actual software code or specialized control hardware used to implement these aspects is not limiting of the description provided herein. Thus, the operation and behavior of the aspects were described without reference to the specific software code—it being understood that software and control hardware can be designed to implement the aspects based on the description herein.

Even though particular combinations of features are recited in the claims and/or disclosed in the specification, these combinations are not intended to limit the invention. In fact, many of these features may be combined in ways not specifically recited in the claims and/or disclosed in the specification.

No element, act, or instruction used in the present application should be construed as critical or essential to the invention unless explicitly described as such. Also, as used herein, the article "a" is intended to include one or more

18

items. Where only one item is intended, the term "one" or similar language is used. Further, the phrase "based on" is intended to mean "based, at least in part, on" unless explicitly stated otherwise.

What is claimed is:

1. A system for generating an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks, the system comprising:

a GPS-enabled marking device to provide a set of GPS coordinates along a marking path of an underground facility marked by a locate technician using the marking device to perform a locate operation in a dig area; and

a user device, comprising:

a location identification unit to receive location tracking signals and determine a device geographic location of the user device based on the location tracking signals;

a memory including a local image cache to store at least one aerial image of a geographic area including the dig area;

an output device including a display;

a communication interface to facilitate electronic communications, via a network, between the user device and at least one of a central server and an image server storing a library of aerial images, wherein at least some of the aerial images in the library include geocoding or other geographical identification metadata; and

a processing unit to:

control the communication interface to transmit, to the at least one of the central server and the image server, first information regarding the device geographic location of the user device as determined based on the location tracking signals received by the location identification unit of the user device, control the communication interface to receive, from the at least one of the central server and the image server, the at least one aerial image from the library of aerial images, wherein:

the at least one aerial image is identified and retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server based on the first information regarding the device geographic location;

the at least one aerial image includes the geocoding or other geographical metadata; and

the at least one aerial image is stored in the local image cache, receive, from the GPS-enabled marking device, the set of GPS coordinates along the marking path of the underground facility marked by the locate technician, the set of GPS coordinates representing approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks including at least one of a) paint, b) at least one flag, and c) at least one colored marker, placed on a surface above the underground facility by the locate technician using the GPS-enabled marking device to perform the locate operation in the dig area,

control the display to represent, on the at least one aerial image, second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks, based at least in part on the set of GPS coordinates received from the marking device and the geocoding or other geographical metadata included in the at

19

least one aerial image, so as to provide the electronic manifest of the underground facility locate marks, and
 store, in the memory, the second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks. 5

2. The system of claim 1, where the processing unit of the user device is further configured to associate a geographic address with the retrieved at least one aerial image of the geographic area including the dig area. 10

3. The system of claim 1, where the processing unit of the user device is further configured to store the second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks as a separate data set of global positioning system (GPS) coordinates. 15

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the user device further includes an input device to permit a user to input user information to the user device, wherein the user information pertains to the underground facility, and where the processing unit is further configured to:
 permit a user to draw shapes, via the input device, on the retrieved aerial image; and
 indicate global coordinates corresponding to the drawn shapes. 25

5. The system of claim 3, where the processing unit is further configured to:
 store the second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks in the memory as part of an electronic manifest data set, wherein:
 the user device further comprises an input device to permit the user to input user information to the user device, wherein the user information pertains to a plurality of environmental landmarks in the at least one aerial image; and
 the electronic manifest data set includes the user information pertaining to the plurality of environmental landmarks in the at least one aerial image. 40

6. The system of claim 1, where the processing unit of the user device is further configured to:
 receive input that identifies a type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device; and
 present third information on the at least one retrieved aerial image concerning the type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device. 45

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the processing unit is further configured to control the communication interface to transmit to the central server the at least one retrieved aerial image and the second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks. 50

8. The system of claim 1, wherein the at least one aerial image retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server has a sufficient resolution at an optimal elevation to record the locate operation. 60

9. The system of claim 1, wherein:
 the at least one aerial image is received from the image server; and
 the at least one aerial image includes at least one overlay of at least one of street names, regions, landmark descriptions, and other information about areas displayed in the at least one aerial image. 65

20

10. A system for generating an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks, the system comprising:
 a GPS-enabled marking device to provide a set of GPS coordinates along a marking path of an underground facility marked by a locate technician using the marking device to perform a locate operation in a dig area; and
 a user device, comprising:
 a location identification unit to receive location tracking signals and determine a device geographic location of the user device based on the location tracking signals;
 a user device memory including a local image cache to store at least one aerial image of a geographic area including the dig area, wherein the at least one aerial image includes a plurality of environmental landmarks present in the dig area;
 an output device including a display;
 a user device communication interface to facilitate electronic communications, via the network, between the user device and at least one of the central server and an image server storing a library of aerial images, wherein at least some of the aerial images in the library include geocoding or other geographical identification metadata; and
 a user device processing unit to:
 control the user device communication interface to transmit, to the at least one of the central server and the image server, first information regarding the device geographic location of the user device as determined based on the location tracking signals received by the location identification unit of the user device,
 control the user device communication interface to receive, from the at least one of the central server and the image server, the at least one aerial image from the library of aerial images, wherein:
 the at least one aerial image is identified and retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server based on the first information regarding the device geographic location;
 the at least one aerial image includes the plurality of environmental landmarks and the geocoding or other geographical metadata; and
 the at least one aerial image is stored in the local image cache,
 receive, from the GPS-enabled marking device, the set of GPS coordinates along the marking path of the underground facility marked by the locate technician, the set of GPS coordinates representing approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks including at least one of a) paint, b) at least one flag, and c) at least one colored marker, placed on a surface above the underground facility by the locate technician using the GPS-enabled marking device to perform the locate operation in the dig area,
 control the display to represent, on the at least one aerial image, second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks, based at least in part on the set of GPS coordinates received from the marking device and the geocoding or other geographical metadata included in the at

21

least one aerial image, so as to provide the electronic manifest of the underground facility locate marks, and
 store, in the user device memory, the second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks,
 wherein an accuracy of the electronic manifest is verified based on a distance between at least some respective environmental landmarks of the plurality of environmental landmarks in the at least one aerial image and the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks represented on the at least one aerial image.

11. The system of claim 10, wherein the electronic manifest further includes offsets between the at least some respective environmental landmarks and the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks represented on the at least one aerial image.

12. The system of claim 11, wherein the accuracy of the electronic manifest is verified based on the offsets between the at least some respective environmental landmarks and the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks represented on the at least one aerial image.

13. The system of claim 12, wherein the user device further includes an input device to permit the user to input user information to the user device, wherein the user information pertains to the offsets.

14. The system of claim 13, where the processing unit of the user device is further configured to:
 receive input from the input device that identifies a type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device; and
 present third information on the at least one retrieved aerial image concerning the type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device.

15. The system of claim 13, wherein the at least one aerial image retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server has a sufficient resolution at an optimal elevation to record the locate operation.

16. The system of claim 13, wherein:
 the at least one aerial image is received from the image server; and
 the at least one aerial image includes at least one overlay of at least one of street names, regions, landmark descriptions, and other information about areas displayed in the at least one aerial image.

17. A system for generating an electronic manifest of underground facility locate marks, the system comprising:
 a GPS-enabled marking device to provide a set of GPS coordinates along a marking path of an underground facility marked by a locate technician using the marking device to perform a locate operation in a dig area; and
 a user device, comprising:
 a location identification unit to receive location tracking signals and determine a device geographic location of the user device based on the location tracking signals;
 a user device memory including a local image cache to store at least one aerial image of a geographic area including the dig area, wherein the at least one aerial image includes a plurality of environmental landmarks present in the dig area;

22

an input device to permit the user to input user information to the user device,
 wherein the user information pertains to the plurality of environmental landmarks in the at least one aerial image;
 an output device including a display;
 a user device communication interface to facilitate electronic communications, via the network, between the user device and at least one of the central server and an image server storing a library of aerial images, wherein at least some of the aerial images in the library include geocoding or other geographical identification metadata; and
 a user device processing unit to:
 control the user device communication interface to transmit, to the at least one of the central server and the image server, first information regarding the device geographic location of the user device as determined based on the location tracking signals received by the location identification unit of the user device,
 control the user device communication interface to receive, from the at least one of the central server and the image server, the at least one aerial image from the library of aerial images, wherein:
 the at least one aerial image is identified and retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server based on the first information regarding the device geographic location;
 the at least one aerial image includes the plurality of environmental landmarks and the geocoding or other geographical metadata; and
 the at least one aerial image is stored in the local image cache,
 receive, from the GPS-enabled marking device, the set of GPS coordinates along the marking path of the underground facility marked by the locate technician, the set of GPS coordinates representing approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks including at least one of a) paint, b) at least one flag, and c) at least one colored marker, placed on a surface above the underground facility by the locate technician using the GPS-enabled marking device to perform the locate operation in the dig area,
 control the display to represent, on the at least one aerial image, second information concerning the approximate locate mark geographic locations of the underground facility locate marks, based at least in part on the set of GPS coordinates received from the marking device and the geocoding or other geographical metadata included in the at least one aerial image, so as to provide the electronic manifest of the underground facility locate marks, and
 control the display to further represent, on the at least one aerial image, offset information relating to distances between at least some of the plurality of environmental landmarks in the at least one aerial image and at least some of the approximate locate mark geographic locations, based on the user information provided by the input device and pertaining to the plurality of environmental landmarks.

18. The system of claim 17, where the processing unit of the user device is further configured to:

receive input from the input device that identifies a type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device; and

present third information on the at least one retrieved aerial image concerning the type of the underground facility marked by the locate technician using the marking device.

19. The system of claim 17, wherein the at least one aerial image retrieved from the at least one of the central server and the image server has a sufficient resolution at an optimal elevation to record the locate operation.

20. The system of claim 19, wherein:

the at least one aerial image is received from the image server; and

the at least one aerial image includes at least one overlay of at least one of street names, regions, landmark descriptions, and other information about areas displayed in the at least one aerial image.

* * * * *